

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**Writ Petition (Service) No. 2890 of 2024**

Manish G. Yadav, S/o Dr. D.G. Yadav, aged about 41 years,  
Occupation- Teacher, L.B. Government Middle School, Purani  
Basti Korba, District Korba (C.G.)

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Education Department, Indravati Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director, Public Instruction Directorate Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Joint Director, Education Department, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. District Education Officer, Korba, District Korba (C.G.)
5. District Coordinator, Samagra Shiksha Korba, District Korba (C.G.)
6. Block Education Officer Korba, District Korba (C.G.)
7. Bipin Yadav, Teacher (L.B.) Middle School, Ratakhar, Block Korba, District Korba (C.G.)

**---- Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

---

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Rahul Tamaskar, Govt. Adv.

---

**Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput**  
**(Order on Board)**

**31.05.2024**

1. Challenge in this petition is to an order dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure-P/01), by which, as an adhoc arrangement, the respondent No.07 herein, under the anticipation of regular appointment, has been given the charge of Cluster Coordinator in Cluster Center, Korba.

**2.** Mr. Awadh Tripathi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 22.01.2022 (Annexure-P/02), the petitioner was appointed as Cluster Coordinator at Korba. Thereafter, the petitioner was subsequently suspended and, therefore, the impugned order (Annexure-P/01) was passed as an adhoc arrangement. However, later on, the suspension of the petitioner was revoked on 09.05.2024 (Annexure-P/07), but still he is deprived from performing his work as Cluster Coordinator. Learned counsel further submits that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has preferred representations dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure-P/8) and 22.05.2024 (Annexure-P/09), but both went in vain. Learned counsel also submits that the appointment order of the petitioner dated 22.01.2022 (Annexure-P/02) is still in existence, therefore, the impugned order dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure-P/01) is liable to be set aside.

**3.** On the other hand, Mr. Rahul Tamaskar, learned State counsel opposes the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has no absolute right to be appointed on the post of Cluster Coordinator. He further submits that the petitioner has already preferred representations before the concerned authorities, which he may pursue for redressal of his grievance.

**4.** Be that as it may, this Court is of the opinion that as the petitioner was earlier suspended and his suspension was revoked, but he has made representations dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure-P/8) and 22.05.2024 (Annexure-P/09) ventilating his grievance to appoint him on the post of Cluster Coordinator in compliance of order dated 22.01.2022 (Annexure-P/02), the respondent No.05 herein is hereby directed to consider and decide the said representations of the petitioner preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of production of copy of this order. The petitioner is also at liberty to submit any additional representation ventilating his grievance. It is expected that respondents-authorities, particularly respondent No.05, shall pass speaking order considering the grievance raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

**5.** With aforesaid observation and direction and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands **disposed of**.

**6.** In view of the above, all pending interlocutory applications are also disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(Sachin Singh Rajput)**  
**Judge**