

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK BLAPL No.5442 of 2024

Premraj Seth Petitioner

Represented By Adv. -Suryakanta Dwibedi

-versus-

State Of Odisha Opposite Party

Represented By Adv. – J.P.Das, A.S.C.

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

<u>Order No.</u> 30.05.2024

- 01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 - 2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.
 - 3. The present bail application under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with 2(a)CC Case No.2 of 2024 corresponding to P.R No.11/2024-25, pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Patnagarh for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)(B) of the N.D.P.S. Act.
 - 4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that earlier this matter was not before any of the bench of this Court. It is



submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2024. He further contended that the investigation has progressed substantially. It is also contended by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that keeping in view the quantity of ganja seized, i.e., 17 Kg 700 gram, which is less than the commercial quantity, a bar under Section 37 of the N.D.P.S. Act is not attracted. He further submitted that the Petitioner does not have any similar criminal antecedent. He further contended that the petitioner belongs to the locality, therefore there is no chance of absconding. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner be released on bail on any terms and condition which the Petitioner undertakes to abide by while on bail.

- 5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party, on the other hand contended that the investigation is still on. He opposed the release of the Petitioner on bail on the ground that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail, there is a possibility that he might be involved in similar criminal offences. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submitted that considering the nature of allegation and the contraband used in the present case, the release of the petitioner would be a threat to the society. Therefore, he submitted that the prayer for bail of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.
- 6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case and

GH COUPT

further keeping the view the period of detention of the Petitioner

in jail custody and the fact that the Petitioner does not have any

similar criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the

Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail

in the aforesaid case on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/-

(Rupees Twenty Five thousand) with two local solvent sureties

for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin over

the matter.

8. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose

any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper.

9. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner

is subject to the condition that the court below shall verify

whether the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent of

similar nature. In the event it is found that the Petitioner is

having any similar criminal antecedent, this bail order shall

automatically stand revoked.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Vacation Judge

Rubi

Date: 30-May-2024 19:57:54