

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 9403 of 2024

Amit Kumar Verma, Aged about : - 19 years, Son of Kundan Kumar Verma, Resident of Village: Bangalipara, Post office and Police station Barharwa, District - Sahibganj Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party
With
B.A. No. 9566 of 2024

Pappu Kumar @ Pappu Ramani, Aged about 30 years, Son of Kishan Ramani, Resident of Village: Tinpahar, Post office and Police Station - Tinpahar, District – Sahibganj Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party
With
B.A. No. 9572 of 2024

Shekhar @ Chandrashekhar Ramani aged about-42 years Son of Somnath Ramani, Resident of Village-Professor Colony, Barharwa, P.O. & P.S. Barharwa, District- Sahibganj Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party
With
B.A. No. 9579 of 2024

Niraj Kumar Sah aged about 24 years son of Navin Kumar Ram Resident of village Kalitalla P.O & P.S Barharwa District Sahibganj Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party
With
B.A. No. 9849 of 2024

Abhishek Kumar Saw @ Abhishek Kumar aged about 23 Years, Son of Heeralal Sao, Resident of Village Bangali Para, P.O and P.S Barharwa, District- Sahibganj. Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party
With
B.A. No. 10060 of 2024

Pawan Kumar Ramani @ Pawan Kumar aged about-24 years Son of Durga Ramani, Resident of Kaharpura, P.O. & P.S. Barharwa, District- Sahibganj. Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH

For the Petitioners : Mr. R. R. Shekhar Singh, Advocate
: Mr. Gautam Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Atma Ram Chaudhary, Advocate
: Mr. Kaushal Kishor Mishra, Advocate
For the State : Mr. S. K. Tiwari, Spl.P.P.
: Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, A.P.P.
: Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P.P.
: Mr. Pankaj Kr. Mishra, A.P.P.
: Mrs. Ruby Pandey, A.P.P.

04/20.12.2024

Heard the Parties.

Petitioners have been made an accused in connection with Barharwa, with P.S. in Case No.102/2024, registered under sections 318, 319, 336, 338, 340, 111 of B.N.S. and Sections 61(2), 66(B), 66(C), 66(D), 84 (C) of I.T. Act, pending in the Court of Sri Ranjay Kumar, learned J.M.F.C., Rajmahal.

On 28.07.2024 at about 09:00 P.M., petitioners alongwith co-accused Jeet Ramani went to CSP of the informant and on the pretext that mother of Pawan Kumar Ramani @ Pawan Kumar needed medical attention, forced the informant to direct transfer of Rs.72000/- by the petitioner Shekhar @ Chandrashekhar Ramani in the account of the informant. The account of the informant was blocked as the money was transferred by committing cyber fraud and when the informant confronted the petitioners, they admitted their guilt and returned Rs.50,000/- and told him that they will return the rest of the amount later on.

During the course of investigation, it transpired that the mobile phone of the petitioners was used in committing cyber offence and they had cheated several persons.

Regard being had to the facts and circumstance of the case; I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners Pappu Kumar @ Pappu Ramani, Shekhar @ Chandrashekhar Ramani, Niraj Kumar Sah, Abhishek Kumar Saw @ Abhishek Kumar, Pawan Kumar Ramani @ Pawan Kumar on bail. Accordingly, their prayer for bail is hereby rejected

As far as the case of petitioner Amit Kumar Verma is concerned, his case stands on different footing because he had never initially went to the Kiosk of the CSP of informant, when the occurrence had taken place. His involvement has come on the basis of materials gathered during the course of investigation that he is a cyber-criminal.

Accordingly; I am inclined to release the petitioner Amit Kumar Verma on bail, he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Ranjay Kumar, learned J.M.F.C., Rajmahal or his successor in connection with Barharwa, with P.S. in Case No.102/2024.

(Ambuj Nath, J.)

*Saurabh/-
uploaded*