

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A No.4181 of 2023

1. Parbhu Ray @ Parbhu Kumar
2. Chhoti Ray
3. Sukhdev Ray
4. Dhaneshwar Ray @ Dhaneshwar Ray
5. Urmila Devi
6. Fulwa Devi
7. Daliya Devi @ Jhaliya Devi
8. Munwa Devi @ Jhunwa Devi
9. Bindu Ray @ Bindu Devi
10. Renu Devi @ Ranju Devi
@ Ranju Kumari

..... **Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Lalita Devi

..... **Opp. Parties**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ram Lakhan Yadav, Advocate
For the State : Mr. S. P. Jha, A.P.P

07/Dated: 31st January, 2024

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the applicants seeks permission to withdraw the present anticipatory bail application with a liberty to approach the court below so far as the applicant Nos.1 & 2 namely, Parbhu Ray @ Parbhu Kumar and Chhoti Ray, respectively, are concerned and press the present bail application with respect to applicant Nos.3 to 10 only.

2. Permission is accorded. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands dismissed as withdrawn so far as applicant Nos.1 & 2 are concerned with the aforesaid liberty.

3. However, if the applicant Nos.1 & 2 surrender and pray for grant of regular bail, the court below is directed to consider the prayer for bail of the applicants on its own merit without being prejudiced by the order of withdrawal.

4. Apprehending their arrest, the applicant Nos.3 to 10, have approached this Court for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail, in connection with Lokainayanpur P.S. Case No.21 of 2022, registered for the offence under Sections 341/ 342/ 323/ 307/ 379/ 498A/ 504/ 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/ 4 of the D.P Act.

5. Innocence of the applicants has been claimed and undertaking has been given for co-operating with the investigating agency and participation in the trial. It has been submitted that so far as applicant Nos.3 to 10 are concerned, most of them are females and some of them are relatives and they are residing separately. On the above basis, prayer for anticipatory bail has been made.

6. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the above facts, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the applicant Nos.3 to 10. Accordingly, the applicant Nos.3 to 10 are directed to surrender in the court below within four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, the court below shall enlarge the applicants on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M., 1st Class, Giridih in connection with Lokainayanpur P.S. Case No.21 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Further, these applicants will submit self-attested photocopy of their Aadhaar Card and also submit their mobile number before the learned court below which they will always keep active and will not change it during pendency of this case without prior permission of the court.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Chandan/-