

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Revision No. 165 of 2022

Dhrub Bhagat aged about 71 years, son of Nathuni Bhagat, Resident of Bhartia Colony, P.O. and P.S. Sahibganj, Dist. Sahibganj

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Ram Narayan Bhagat, aged about 71 years and two months, son of Late Jagdish Bhagat, Resident of Chandmari Road, P.O. and P.S. Kankarbag, Dist. (Patna) Bihar **Opp. Parties**

With

Cr. Revision No. 231 of 2022

Dhurb Bhagat @ dhrub Bhagat aged about 71 years, son of Nathuni Bhagat, Resident of Bhartia Colony, P.O. and P.S. Sahibganj, Dist. Sahibganj

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Sanjoy Kumar Bhagat @ Sanjay Kr. Bhagat aged about 47 years, son of Ram Narayan Bhagat, Resident of Chandmari Road, P.O. and P.S. Kankarbag, Dist.- Patna (Bihar)

... .. **Opp. Parties**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Ms. Sharda Kumari, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 2	: Mr. Vijay Shankar Jha, Advocate
For the State	: Mrs. Ruby Pandey, A.P.P.

06/29.02.2024

Criminal Revision No. 165 of 2022 has been filed against the order dated 17.12.2021 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Sahibganj in Criminal Appeal No. 10/2020 whereby the learned Sessions Judge has allowed the Criminal Appeal No. 10/2020 and has set aside the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 10.01.2020 passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj in connection with G.R. Case No. 40/2004 T.R. No. 1074/2020 registered under Section 138 of the N.I. Act and under Section 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Criminal Revision No. 231 of 2022 has been filed against the order dated 17.12.2021 passed by Learned Sessions Judge, Sahibganj in Criminal Appeal No. 13/2020 whereby the learned Sessions Judge has allowed the Criminal Appeal No. 13/2020 and has set aside the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 10.01.2020 passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj in connection with G.R. Case No. 40/2004 T.R. No. 1074/2020 registered under Section

138 of the N.I. Act and under Section 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that present revision applications have been filed against the judgment of acquittal passed by the Appellate Court. She seeks permission to withdraw these revision petitions by stating that the present petitions are not maintainable and liberty be reserved with the petitioner to take appropriate steps. She submits that certified copies filed along with these petitions may be permitted to be taken back.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 does not have any serious objection to the prayer made.

5. Accordingly, these revision petitions are permitted to be withdrawn and liberty is reserved with the petitioners to take appropriate steps as per law. Office is directed to hand over the certified copies filed along with the revision petitions to the learned counsel for the petitioners upon being replaced by the true copy thereof.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)