

Serial No. 11
Regular Cause List

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT SRINAGAR

CCP(S) 292/2019
In OWP 349/2019
CM(4796/2019)

Parents Association Good Shepherd
Mission School

...Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. L.A.Latif, Advocate

Vs.

Mr. Ashwani Dobay & Ors

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Hakim Aman Ali, Dy.AG.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE.

ORDER
29.11.2024

01. The instant contempt petition has been preferred against the Order dated 9th April, 2019 passed in OWP No. 349/2019, which has been disposed of in the following manner:-

“Given submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by providing that respondents may treat instant writ petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and decide the same within a period two months from the date certified copy of this order along with complete paper book is served upon them, and of course, in accordance with rules governing the field”

02. The record reveals that different statement of facts have been filed on behalf of the respondents and even the respondents have filed a fresh compliance report dated 23rd October, 2024. Along with compliance report, the respondents have placed on record the detailed order of consideration bearing No. 27 of 2024 dated 14th October, 2024.

03. Since the direction, which is sought to be complied with, was specific to the extent of directing the respondents to treat the writ petition as representation on behalf of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of two months in accordance with rules governing the field and the respondents in furtherance of the aforesaid direction has complied the order passed by this Court by passing a detailed consideration order which has been placed on record along with fresh compliance report mentioned supra.

04. However, the record further reveals that Mr. Furqan Sofi, learned GA, was granted two weeks' time to file compliance report, failing which, respondent no. 4 was directed to appear in person before the Court. Today, Mr. Hakim Aman Ali, learned Dy.AG, who is representing the respondents submits that since the order passed by this Court has already been complied with, no fruitful purpose would be served by filing a fresh compliance report and, accordingly, he has drawn the attention of this Court to the order of consideration which has been placed on record along with compliance report.

05. From the perusal of the compliance report as also consideration order which have been placed on record, it is apparently clear that the order passed by this Court, which is sought to be complied with, has been implemented in its letter and spirit and, therefore, there remains nothing further to be adjudicated upon. Accordingly, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition are **closed**.

06. Rule, if any, framed shall stand discharged.

07. The personal appearance of respondent no. 4, who is present in person before the Court, is dispensed with.

08. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the consideration order dated 14th October, 2024, if so advised.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:

29.11.2024

"Shamim Dar"