

**Serial No. 16**  
**Regular List**

**HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA**  
**AT SHILLONG**

MC (CRP) No. 1 of 2024 in  
CRP No. 3(T) 5 of 2024

Date of Decision: 30.04.2024

---

1. Smti Brijita Nongbri, aged about 57 years  
D/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
2. Smti Trail Nongbri,  
D/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
3. Smti Dlet Nongbri,  
D/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
4. Shri Andrias Nongbri,  
S/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
5. Shri Micheal Nongbri,  
S/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
6. Smti Regina Lyngdoh,  
D/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
7. Smti Sistina Nongbri,  
D/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya. .... **Petitioner(s)**

**- Versus -**

---

1. Smti Jasinta Nongbri,  
D/o (L) Kwintina Nongbri @ Kwain Nongbri  
R/o Umroh, Mawkasiang, Shillong,  
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya. **... Respondent(s)**

---

**Coram:**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge**

---

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. L. Khyriem, Adv.  
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Syngkon, Adv. with  
Mr. R. Pyngrope, Adv.

---

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.:	Yes/No
ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No

---

**(ORAL)**

1. This is an application for condonation of 93 days delay in preferring the connected civil revision application.

2. Mr. S. Syngkon, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that an objection application has been filed, indicating that though there are 7(seven) petitioners, there is no authorization, authorizing the petitioner to present the civil revision or the instant condonation application, on their behalf also.

3. Mr. L. Khyriem, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that from the Trial Court itself, the petitioner had been authorized to represent the other petitioners, and as the revision is in continuation of the same proceedings, this application for condonation may be accepted for consideration.

4. Heard learned counsels for the parties.

5. Seen that though no authorization is present on behalf of the petitioners, it is a fact that the petitioner has been the active party throughout the proceedings, before the Lower Court.

6. In that view of the matter, and also that no further objection has been raised by Mr. S. Syngkon, the learned counsel for the respondent, the delay of 93 days is condoned, and the civil revision is admitted for consideration. Registry to register and diarize the civil revision application.

7. This Misc. Case is disposed of.

**JUDGE**

Meghalaya  
30.04.2024  
"V. Lyndem-PS"