

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
_A_G_A_R_T_A_L_A_

WP(C) No.127 of 2024

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mrs. S. Deb (Gupta), Advocate. Mr. S. S. Dey, Advocate General Mr. B. Debbarma, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. A. Chakraborty, Advocate.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BISWAJIT PALIT

_O_R_D_E_R_

28.02.2024

Heard Mrs. S. Deb (Gupta), learned counsel appearing for the petitioners also heard Mr. S. S. Dey, learned Advocate General assisted by Ms. A. Chakraborty, learned counsel and Mr. B. Debbarma, learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

Ms. Deb Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner No.1 has not applied to seat in the examination. As such, insofar as the petitioner No.1 is concerned she is not pressing the case of the petitioner No.1 hence, the writ petition is dismissed insofar as the petitioner No.1.

Mr. S. S. Dey, learned Advocate General assisted by Ms. A. Chakraborty, learned counsel appearing for the respondent has submitted that the respondent TTAADC is an independent entity/autonomous body and there is no documents under which they have adopted the Government notification and challenging the said notification in this writ petition when there is no specific impugned proceeding denying the application of the petitioners in absence of any cause of action, the present petition is premature and needs to be dismissed.

In view of above, this Court is of the opinion that since there is no cause of action and the respondent TTAADC has accepted the application now, it is their discretion to decide the matter as per procedure. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

B. PALIT, J

T. AMARNATH GOUD, J

A. Ghosh

**ANJAN
GHOSH**

Digitally signed by
ANJAN GHOSH
Date: 2024.03.06
15:28:31 +05'30'