

Court No. - 78

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC ANTICIPATORY BAIL
APPLICATION U/S 438 CR.P.C. No. - 6692 of 2023

Applicant :- Vivek

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Ulajhan Singh Bind, Dhirendra Kumar

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Krishan Pahal, J.

1. Heard Sri Ulajhan Singh Bind, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri P.S. Jadaun, learned A.G.A. for the State and also perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in F.I.R./Case Crime No. 0166 of 2022, under Sections 420, 406, 506, 120-B of IPC, Police Station Sadar Bazar, District Agra, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail.

3. As per prosecution story, the co-accused persons, Pratap Singh, Sajan Sharma and Rahul are stated to have taken heavy amount from the informant got getting them a job in the Indian Army.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant has stated that the applicant is maliciously being prosecuted in the present case due to ulterior motive and has apprehension of his arrest. He has nothing to do with the said offence as alleged by the prosecution. Learned counsel has further stated that the applicant is not named in the FIR. Later on, the name of the applicant has come up as he is an National Security Guard (N.S.G.) Commando and posted at Calcutta. Learned counsel has also stated that another FIR bearing no. 167 of 2022 was instituted against the applicant, his father and mother as father of the informant had committed suicide owing to the said amount of Rs.72 lakhs being given to the applicant and his father. The allegations are fake and it cannot be substantiated by any evidence whatsoever. The applicant has been granted interim protection in Case Crime No.167 of 2022 by this Court vide order dated 26.04.2023 passed in Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application u/s 438 Cr.P.C. No.4355 of 2023.

5. Several other submissions have been made on behalf of the

applicant to demonstrate the falsity of the allegations made against him. The circumstances which, as per counsel, led to the false implication of the applicant have also been touched upon at length. It is further submitted that the applicant has no other criminal antecedents except the Case Crime No.167 of 2022. In case, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is allowed, he will not misuse the liberty and shall cooperate with trial.

6. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but unable to dispute the submissions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant and also the fact that the applicant has no other criminal history.

7. Considering the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of "**Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State (NCT of Delhi)-2020 SCC online SC 98**", the applicant is entitled to be granted anticipatory bail in this case.

8. Without expressing any opinion upon ultimate merits of the case either ways which may adversely affect the trial of the case, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

9. In the event of arrest of the applicant, **Vivek** involved in the aforesaid case crime number, shall be released on anticipatory bail till the conclusion of trial on furnishing a personal bond with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Presiding Officer/Court Concerned, with the conditions that:-

- i. that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;
- iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without previous permission of the court;
- iv. that the applicant shall not tamper with the evidence during the trial;
- v. that the applicant shall not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witness;
- vi. that the applicant shall appear before the trial court on each date fixed unless personal presence is exempted;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the court concerned shall have the liberty to cancel the bail granted to the

applicant.

11. It is made clear that observations made in granting anticipatory bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Justice Krishan Pahal)

Order Date :- 30.6.2023

Siddhant