

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51921-2018

Date of decision: 31st August, 2023

Kuldeep Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate for
 Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.
 Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate for respondents No. 7 to 9.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 to 7 not to conduct any further enquiries as the petitioners were being unnecessarily harassed by respondents No. 9 to 10. The dispute between the petitioner and private respondents was with regard to settlement on account of partnership firm.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Singara submits that as on date no complaint is pending between the parties with the official respondents, hence there is no occasion for conducting enquiry at this stage.
3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are called for, the petition is disposed of.
4. Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.
5. Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

31st August, 2023

Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |