

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28740-2022
Date of decision : 31.05.2023**

Rajatdeep Singh Petitioner

versus

Chairman cum Managing Director and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivani Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the respondents-Corporation submits that the present writ petition was filed seeking mandate to the respondents to protect the pay of the petitioner in terms of Rule 4.4B of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Vol. I Part I. and to release consequential benefits and subsequent to the filing of the present writ petition, the necessary relief as claimed in the present writ petition stands admitted and released. He has placed on record documents in terms of bank statement for the financial year 2022-2023 and the order which are taken on record as mark 'X'. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

2. Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the statement made by counsel for the respondent.

3. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondents, the present writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

4. Ordered accordingly.

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

31.05.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No