

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-10600 of 2023
Date of Decision: 31.10.2023**

Mehazbeen Bano and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Union Territory Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Addl. P.P. for U.T. Chandigarh.

RITU TAGORE, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners claim that they have attained majority and are in a live-in-relationship with each other against the wishes of their family members. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty from respondents No.4 to 6, they have filed the present petition for protection under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Petitioners claim to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-4) dated 26.10.2023 in this regard to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh. Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the effect of deciding the representation of the petitioners.

Heard.

Record perused.

The petitioners have placed on record copies of their Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-3) as well as school certificate of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-2) as proof of their age.

Without expressing any opinion, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, that representation, if any, filed seeking protection of life and liberty of petitioners, be looked into and contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken, strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. Petitioners are directed to appear before respondent No.2 within a period of one week from today.

It is made clear that the observations made herein are only for the purpose of deciding the present petition only. This order shall have no affect on civil/criminal proceedings, if any, pending/instituted against the petitioners.

It is further made clear that if petitioners are otherwise found to be involved in any other case, in such an eventuality, this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking appropriate action against the petitioners, that law permits.

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

October 31, 2023

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No