

2023:PHHC:127235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
109**

CRM-M-49494-2023

Date of decision: September 29, 2023

SAMSHU

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU TAGORE, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to conduct fair investigation and take strong legal action against the private respondents, who are extending threats to the petitioner. Further prayer is for directions to the respondents to ensure protection of life, liberty and property of the petitioner and his family members.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint/application was submitted on 22.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint/application.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Tpishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No. 3 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Nuh, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint/application dated 22.08.2023 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

September 29, 2023
pj

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether reportable: *Yes/No*