

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-21244-2023
Date of Decision: 29.09.2023

Mall Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Canara Bank and Another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider case of the petitioner for pension as he had served for 18 years on the regular post of Armed Guard in respondent-bank.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage grievance of the petitioner would be redressed if respondent-bank is directed to consider and decide representation/legal notice dated 25.03.2022 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner.
3. Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the respondent-bank is directed to look into representation/legal notice dated 25.03.2022 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within 3 months from today.
4. Disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.09.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No