

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1949-2023
DECIDED ON: 31.10.2023**

ABHISHEK SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

**SUMITA MISRA, IAS, ADD. CHIEF SECY. DEPT. OF MEDICAL
EDUCATION AND RESEARCH****RESPONDENT**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Sahil Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present contempt petition has been filed under Section 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for failure to comply with the directions passed by this Court vide order dated 26.04.2023 in CWP No.8602 of 2023.

2. Compliance report dated 27.10.2023 has been filed by learned State counsel in the Court and the same is taken on record.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 26.04.2023 passed in CWP-8602-2023, respondents were directed to decide the representation dated 11.01.2022 by passing the speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

Counsel further submits that though, petitioner has been

redesignated from the post of 'Associate Professor' to 'Professor' with effect from 31.12.2021 but consequential benefits have been withheld.

4. Since, the order passed in the writ petition filed by the petitioner indicates that nothing was observed on merits of the case, it cannot be opined in the present contempt petition that the respondent has committed any contempt in regard to not granting the consequential benefits.

5. Thus, present petition is **disposed of** and rule is discharged.

6. However, petitioner would be at liberty to take remedial steps in accordance with law for any relief, if he is entitled to.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

31.10.2023

Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>