

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARHCRWP-6443-2023  
Date of decision: 30.06.2023

**Shristi Tomar and another** .....Petitioners  
V/s

**State of Punjab and others** ...Respondents

**CORAM:** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 26.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No. 4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to file fresh representation as the representation dated 26.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) has already been submitted before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, which has not been taken into consideration.

Notice of Motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

After going through the contents of the representation, the representation seems to be vague, wherein no specific detail has been mentioned.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners will file a detailed representation before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala and he be directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners.

Prayer is allowed and petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala as the life and liberty of the petitioners is at stake.

On their doing so, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners and to deal with the representation in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)  
JUDGE

30.06.2023

*Sapna*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No