

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 6304 OF 2014

Between:

**PRODDATURI RAVINDER, R.R.DIST., S/o. P. Rajeshwar Occ Business R/o.
H.No. 3-26 Komati Basthi Shamshabad Village and Mandal Ranga Reddy District**

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1. SUB-DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE, Spl. Grade Deputy Collector and Revenue Divisional Officer Rajendranagar Division Ranga Reddy District**
- 2. Station House Officer, R.G.I. Airport Police Station Cyberabad**
- 3. State of Telangana, Represented by public Prosecutor High Court Hyderabad**

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings of No.L/LandO/879/2014, dated 21.03.2014 issued by the first respondent, sub-Divisional Magistrate, Spl. Grade Dy. Collector and RDO, Rajendranagar Division, R.R.District.

CRLPMP. NO: 5796 OF 2014

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the proceedings No.L/L&O/879/2014, dated 21.03.2014 by the sub-Divisional Magistrate Spl. Grade Dy. Collector & RD), Rajendra nagar Division, R.R.District to the extent of petitioners plot bearing Nos.259 and 260 pending disposal of quash petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri E SAMBASIVA PRATAP, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.3, and None Appeared for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E. V. VENUGOPAL**CRIMINAL PETITION No.6304 OF 2014****ORDER:**

The present Criminal Petition is filed seeking to quash the proceedings No.L/L&O/879/2014 dated 21.03.2014 issued by respondent No.1 and pass such other order or orders.

2. Heard Mr. L. Sandeep, learned counsel representing Mr. E. Sambasiva Pratab, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Vizarith Ali, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the Criminal Petition does not survive and therefore, seeks to dismiss the Criminal Petition as infructuous.

4. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor takes no objection to the same.

5. Recording the submissions made by both the learned counsel, the Criminal Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/- C. PRAVEEN KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Sub Divisional Magistrate Sp. Grade Dy. Collector & RD, Rajendra nagar Division, R.R. District.
2. One CC to SRI. E SAMBASIVA PRATAP, Advocate [OPUC].
3. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT].
4. Two CD Copies




HIGH COURT

DATED:29/09/2023

ORDER

CRLP.No.6304 of 2014

CRLP IS DISMISSED AS INTRUCTUOUS



⑥ MLV
20/2/24