# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BECHU KURIAN THOMAS

R

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 13359/2023 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

APPELLANT/4TH RESPONDENT IN WP(C) NO.13359/2023:

M/S SKYLINK INTERNATIONAL
DOOR NO.55/346, 1ST FLOOR, VENUS CHAMBERS, KANNAMTHODATHU
LANE, VALANJAMBALAM, REPRESENTED BY BAIJU Y.P,
S/O LATE.PAVITHRAN, AGED 53 YEARS, PROPRIETOR, M/S SKYLINK
INTERNATIONAL, DOOR NO.55/346, 1ST FLOOR, VENUS CHAMBERS,
KANNAMTHODATHU LANE, VALANJAMBALAM, ERNAKULAM, PIN - 682016

BY ADVS.
S.ABHILASH
K.SIJU
ANJANA KANNATH
RENUKA VENU
FATHIMA MOHAN
GOPIKA T.G.
AHSANA

#### RESPONDENTS/PETITIONERS & RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3:

- 1 PRAMOD A.V
  AGED 33 YEARS
  S/O VIJAYAN, RESIDING AT AKKODAPPILLY HOUSE,
  ALOOR P.O, KALLETTINKARA, THRISSUR,
  PIN 680683
- 2 CHALS CHARLEY
  AGED 30 YEARS
  S/O CHARLEY T.M, THERIPARAMBIL HOUSE, KORATTY,
  THRISSUR, PIN 680309
- THE UNION OF INDIA
  REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
  MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS, SOUTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI,
  PIN 110001
- THE PROTECTOR GENERAL OF EMIGRANTS
  OFFICE OF THE PROTECTOR GENERAL OF EMIGRANTS,
  AKBAR BHAVAN, SATHYAMARG, CHANAKYAPURI, NEW DELHI,
  PIN 110021

THE PROTECTOR OF EMIGRANTS
MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
GROUND FLOOR, REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICE,
PANAMPILLY NAGAR, COCHIN, PIN - 682036

BY ADV. PAUL P. ABRAHAM FOR R1 & R2

### **OTHER PRESENT:**

BY ADV. PREJITH KUMAR, CGC

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28.04.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

### 

### **JUDGMENT**

### BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, J.

The appellant was the fourth respondent before the learned Single Judge. The writ petitioner had filed Exts.P1 and P2 complaints before the Protector General of Emigrants, the second respondent. Since a decision was not taken, despite waiting for a considerable period of time, he approached this Court seeking a direction for an early disposal of his complaints.

2. The learned Single Judge by the impugned judgment, directed the second respondent to finalize the decision on Exts.P1 and P2 complaints at the earliest at any rate, within a period of one month. The appellant, who was not issued with notice in the writ petition has approached this Court, assailing the judgment of the learned Single Judge.

3. After hearing the learned Counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Counsel for the respondents, we are satisfied that the judgment warrants no interference. As the learned Single Judge has directed a decision to be taken on Exts.P1 and P2 complaints, we direct that both the appellant as well as respondents 1 and 2 shall also be heard before a decision is taken. Since a hearing in necessitated by virtue of the direction, the time limit to take a decision shall stand extended by two months from today.

The Writ Appeal is disposed of as above.

## Sd/-BECHU KURIAN THOMAS JUDGE

Sd/-C. JAYACHANDRAN JUDGE

### **APPENDIX OF WA 867/2023**

### PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE 1 TRUE COPY OF THE VISA ISSUED IN THE NAME OF THE

**1ST RESPONDENT** 

ANNEXURE 2 TRUE COPIES OF THE VISA ISSUED IN THE NAME OF

THE 2ND RESPONDENT

ANNEXURE 3 A TRUE COPY OF THE 0.S NO.877/2022 DATED

30.8.2022

RESPONDENTS' ANNEXURES: NIL

TRUE COPY

P.A. TO JUDGE