IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.SOMARAJAN
WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF MAY 2023 / 10TH JYAISHTA, 1945
OP(C) NO. 686 OF 2023

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 27-01-2023 IN I.A.NO.3/2022 IN O.S.NO.88/2008

OF MUNSIFF MAGISTRATE COURT, MANANTHAVADY

PETITIONER/1ST PETITIONER IN I.A.3/2022/1ST DEFENDENTS IN OS:

MARTIN.K.P S/O PHILIPPOSE, AGED 59 YEARS, KATTAKKAYATHIL VEEDU, MANANTHAVADY AMSOM DESOM, MANANTHAVADY

BY ADVS. B.KRISHNAN R.PARTHASARATHY

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENT & 2ND PETITIONER IN I.A.3/2022/PLAINTIFF AND 2ND DEFENDANT IN OS:

- 1 MICKLE K.P, S/O PHILIPPOSE, AGED 62 YEARS, KATTAKKAYATHIL VEEDU, MANANTHAVADY AMSOM DESOM, MANANTHAVADY
- 2 LALI MARTIN, W /O MARTIN K.P., KATTAKKAYATHIL VEEDU, MANANTHAVADY AMSOM DESOM, MANANTHAVADY

BY ADV BIJU ABRAHAM

THIS OP (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31.05.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

It is pertaining to the issue of survey commission by the trial court in a suit fixation of boundary and injunction, defendant on the that came up reason the Commissioner and the surveyor had utterly failed to locate the property in accordance with the respective title deeds. The learned counsel for respondent/plaintiff fairly conceded that there are some discrepancies in the report and the plan submitted by the survey commissioner. But they are not prepared to send out another one. On the other hand, the counsel for the respondent fairly submitted that a fresh survey commission can be issued at their cost as it is highly necessary to resolve the dispute involved. Ιt is also necessary to locate the large extent of property which comes to 6 Acres and 90 cents in R.S.No.352/1 so as to locate the respective properties claimed by the parites to the suit. Hence, it deems fit to direct the trial court to allow the petitioner/defendant to send out a fresh commission for conducting survey of the property based on the abovesaid observations.

With the above direction, the O.P.(C) will stand disposed of.

sd/P.SOMARAJAN
JUDGE

rkr-31/05/23

APPENDIX OF OP(C) 686/2023

| PETITIONER EXHIBITS | |
|----------------------|---|
| Exhibit-P1 | TRUE COPY OF THE PLAINT IN O.S.NO. 88/2008 ON THE FILE OF MUNSIFF COURT, MANANTHAVADY |
| Exhibit-P2 | TRUE COPY OF WRITTEN STATEMENT DELIVERED BY THE DEFENDANT IN THE SUIT O.S.NO. 88/2008 |
| Exhibit-P3 | TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE ADVOCATE COMMISSIONER WITH PLAN ANNEXED |
| Exhibit-P4 | TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT FILED IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION BY DEFENDANT |
| Exhibit-P5 | TRUE COPY OF THE PREVIOUS REPORT OF COMMISSIONER DATED 22.02.2019 |
| Exhibit-P6 | TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE MUNSIFF, MANANTHAVADY DATED 27-1-2023 |
| RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS | NIL |

TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE