

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

LPA No.202 of 2023

Decided on: 30th November, 2023

Nageen Chand

.....Appellant

Versus

H.P. State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission

...Respondent

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. S. Ramachandra Rao, Chief Justice

The Hon'ble Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?

For the appellant:

Mr. Nishant Khidtta, Advocate.

For the respondent:

None.

M.S.Ramachandra Rao, Chief Justice (Oral)

In this LPA, counsel for the appellant argues that before the learned Single Judge, he had made submission about absence of reasons for imposing major penalty of compulsory retirement by the competent authority, and that the learned Single Judge has not adverted to the said arguments.

2. We do not find any reference of the said submission of the counsel for the appellant before the learned Single Judge in the order of the learned Single Judge.

3. There is not even a ground raised in the LPA that the said point was argued before the learned Single Judge, but he did not consider the same.

4. Therefore, we grant liberty to the appellant to seek review of the order before the learned Single Judge, raising such submission and dispose of this appeal with the said observation.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(M. S. Ramachandra Rao)
Chief Justice

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

November 30, 2023
R.Atal