IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

FAO No. 173 of 2013

Date of Decision: May 31, 2023.

Bir SinghAppellant

Versus

Shri Ram SinghRespondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge. Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the appellant : Mr. Pranjal Munjal, Advocate, vice

Mr. Raman Sethi, Advocate.

For the respondent : Ms. Kamakshi Tarlokta Advocate.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent has stated that the matter has been compromised between the parties before the Lok Adalat. She has also placed on record the certified copy of the order dated 09.09.2017 passed by the Lok Adalat.

2. The aforesaid order of the Lok Adalat passed in Execution Petition is reproduced as under:-

"Today, this execution petition is listed before Lok Adalat. Both the parties have compromised the matter. The J.D. has agreed to pay Rs.80,000/- full and final payment and DH has agreed to withdraw his appeal before Hon'ble High Court H.P. which he has filed for enhancement of compensation. J.D. has paid Rs.30,000/- in cash and balance amount through

-

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2

cheque bearing No. 989100 of Rs.50,000/-. The statements of both the parties to this effect are separately recorded and taken on record. In view of this, the present execution petition is dismissed being fully satisfied.

3. Therefore, in view of the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties before the Lok Adalat in the execution petition, learned vice counsel for the appellant under instructions received from Shri Raman Sethi, Advocate, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Appeal.

The appeal stands dismissed as withdrawn accordingly, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(**Sushil Kukreja**), Judge.

May 31, 2023 (subhash)