



# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE 28<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF DECEMBER, 2023 BEFORE

# THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.G.S. KAMAL WRIT PETITION NO. 29109 OF 2023 (EDN-RES)

# **BETWEEN:**

- 1. RAVI SCHOOL OF NURSING,
  NO.40/2-38A, 8TH CROSS,
  3RD BLOCK, THIRUMENAHALLI VILLAGE,
  JAKKUR POST, YELAHANKA HOBLI,
  BENGALURU 560 064,
  REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL
  SMT. AHONGSHANGBAM SANGEETA DEVI,
  D/O AHONGSHANGBAM LOKHENDRO SINGH,
  AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS.
- 2. AAYUSHI KUMARI SINGH, D/O BIRENDRA SINGH, AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS.
- 3. CHANDRA KALA, D/O RAMANAND KUSHWAHA, AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS.
- 4. DIPTI KUMARI SINHA, D/O UMESH PRASAD SINHA, AGED ABOUT 20 YEARS.
- 5. GITANJALI KUMARI, D/O JAIPRKASH SINGH, AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS.
- 6. GOUTAMI BARMAN, D/O SATISH CHANDRA BARMAN, AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS.

Digitally signed by SUMA B N Location: High Court of Karnataka



NC: 2023:KHC:47029 WP No. 29109 of 2023

- 7. KHADIJA KHATUM, D/O SEKH MASIRUDDIN, AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS.
- 8. MAMONI KHATUN, D/O ABU BAKKAR MIAH, AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS.
- 9. NIKEE KUMARI KUSHWAHA, D/O AWADHALAL KUSHWAHA AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS.
- 10. PREETI KUMARI, D/O SIKANDAR SINGH, AGED ABOUT 19 YEARS.
- 11. PUJA DAS, D/O NITAI CHANDRA DAS, AGED ABOUT 20 YEARS.
- 12. RESMINA BEGUM, D/O M.D. MOBARAK ALI, AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS.
- 13. SUNITA KUMARI, D/O RINKU KUMAR SINGH, AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS.


SL.N.2 TO 13 ARE THE STUDENTS OF RAVI SCHOOL OF NURSING, YALAHANKA HOBLI, BENGALURU - 560 064.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. A.K. VASANTH, ADVOCATE)

#### AND:

 THE REGISTRAR, KARNATAKA STATE NURSING COUNCIL, NO.71, NIGHTINGALE TOWERS,





'A' STREET, 6TH CROSS, NEAR MOVIELAND THEATER, GANDHINAGAR, BENGALURU - 560 009.

- 2. KARNATAKA STATE NURSING AND PARA MEDICAL SCIENCES EDUCATION (REGULATION) AUTHORITY, 1ST FLOOR, LIBRARY BLOCK, B.M.C.R.I. CAMPUS, K.R.ROAD, BENGALURU 560 002, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.
- 3. THE SECRETARY,
  KARNATAKA STATE DIPLOMA IN
  NURSING EXAMINATION BOARD,
  1ST FLOOR, LIBRARY BLOCK,
  B.M.R.C.I. CAMPUS,
  VICTORIA HOSPITAL COMPOUND,
  BANGALORE 560 002.
- 4. THE SECRETARY,
  MEDICAL EDUCATION,
  M.S.BUILDING, VIDHANA VEEDHI,
  BENGALURU 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SUMANA BALIGA, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. SUDHARSHAN SURESH, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3; SRI. SPOORTHY HEGDE, HCGP FOR R4)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE R-2 AND 3 TO ISSUE HALL TICKET TO THE PETITIONER NO.2 TO 13 IN GENERAL NURSING AND MIDWIFERY (GNM) ADMITTED IN THE P-1 NURSING SCHOOL FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-23 AND ETC.,



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

### **ORDER**

Petitioners are before this court seeking issuance of writ in the nature of *Mandamus* directing respondents No.2 and 3 to issue hall tickets to petitioners No.2 to 13 enabling them to appear for the annual examination of General Nursing and Midwifery (GNM) scheduled by respondent No.2 as per Annexure - A dated 04.12.2023.

2. Petitioners No.2 to 13 claim to be the students admitted in the first petitioner-institution for the course of Diploma in General Nursing and Midwifery (GNM) for the academic year 2022 - 2023. Admittedly, petitioner No.1 has been permitted by the respondent-authorities to take 50 students for the said academic year. Case of the petitioners is that due to some clerical/technical error, the names of the petitioners, though who were registered within the time stipulated for admission, have not been informed/uploaded resulting in their names having been excluded and they having been deprived of hall tickets enabling them to take up the



ensuing exam. It is this fact situation of the matter which has constrained the petitioners to be before this Court.

- 3. Sri. Sudharsan Suresh, learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 and 3 fairly submits that the petitioners would be issued hall tickets and be permitted to take up the ensuing exam provided that they were registered/admitted for the academic year 2022-2023 before the cut off date and further they formed part of 50 students limit permitted by respondent-authorities and subject to verification of records regarding their admission.
- 4. In response, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners were indeed admitted much prior to the stipulated date and they do come within the limit of 50 students permitted by the respondent-authorities. He further submits that the first petitioner-institution would co-operate in furnishing all the records as may be required by the respondent-authorities enabling them to issue hall tickets to petitioners 2 to 13 as sought for.
- 5. The submissions of learned counsel for petitioners and respondents are taken on record.



In view of the aforesaid admitted facts, this Court do not see any purpose in retaining the petition. Hence, the following:

## **ORDER**

- I. Writ petition is partly allowed.
- II. Respondents No.2 and 3 shall permit petitioners No.2 to 13 to take up the ensuing exam by issuing the hall tickets provided that they were registered/admitted for the academic year 2022-2023 before the cut off date and further they formed part of 50 students limit permitted by respondent-authorities and subject to verification of records regarding their admission.
- III. Such exercise shall be undertaken by respondents No.2 and 3 and appropriate decision including issuance of hall tickets if found eligible shall be taken and communicated to the petitioners on or before 01.01.2024.

Sd/-JUDGE