

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE 31<sup>ST</sup> DAY OF MARCH 2023 PRESENT

### THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE AND

## THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. VISHWAJITH SHETTY REVIEW PETITION NO.818 OF 2022

#### **BETWEEN:**

Digitally signed by RUPASV Location: High Court of Karnataka

- 1. HAZRATH MADAR SHAH MAKAN (WAKF) REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL ITI AND D.ED TRAINING CENTRE ASHOKA ROAD, TUMKUR 572102.
- 2. THE ESTATE OFFICER
  DISTRICT BOARD OF WAKF
  ASHOKA ROAD, TUMKUR 572 102.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MOHAMMAD ANWAR, ADV., FOR SRI. RAHAMATHULLA KOTHWAL, ADV.,)

#### AND:

- 1. THE KARNATAKA STATE BOARD OF WAKF REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN KARNATAKA STATE BOARD OF WAKFS DARUL AWKAF, NO.6, CUNNINGHAM ROAD BENGALURU-52.
- 2. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
  KARNATAKA STATE BOARD OF WAKFS
  DARUL AWKAF, NO.6, CUNNINGHAM ROAD
  BENGALURU-52.
- 3. THE COMMISSIONER
  EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING DIRECTORATE
  KAUSHALYA BHAVANA, DAIRY CIRCLE
  BANNERGHATTA ROAD, BENGALURU-560029.



4. NAZEER AHMED
S/O ABDUL RAHIM
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
RO BEHIND GOVT URDU SCHOOL
2NND CROSS, UPPARAHALLI
SHANTHINAGAR, BENGALURU 572 102.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. G.S. BALLAGANGADHAR, ADV., FOR R4 SMT. NAMITHA MAHESH B.G. AGA FOR R1)

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER ORDER 47 RULE 1 READ WITH SECTION 114 OF CPC, 1908 PRAYING TO REVIEW THE FINAL ORDER PASSED BY THIS HONBLE COURT IN W.A.NO.147 OF 2021, DATED 12.07.2021 ORDER WHICH IS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A. PASS ANY SUCH ORDER IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER AS THIS HONBLE COURT DEEMS FIT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, **ALOK ARADHE J.,** MADE THE FOLLOWING:

#### **ORDER**

This petition has been filed seeking review of the judgment dated 27.10.2021 passed in W.A.No.147/2021 the judgment passed by co-ordinate bench of this court in W.A.No.147/21, which has been followed by this court on joint submission made by learned counsel for the parties has already been upheld by Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.11127-30/2020.



2. For the aforementioned reasons, no case for interference is made out.

In the result, the review petition is dismissed.

Sd/-JUDGE

Sd/-JUDGE