



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 31<sup>ST</sup> DAY OF JULY, 2023**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

**CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 11090 OF 2022**

**BETWEEN:**

1. RANI C. N.  
W/O PRASANNA  
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS
2. PRASANNA  
S/O SHIVEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS
3. SHIVEGOWDA  
S/O LATE KALEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
4. YOGESHA  
S/O SHIVEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
5. RAMAKRISHNEGOWDA  
S/O LATE KEMPEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
6. SRIDEVI  
W/O NINGEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
7. KANTHARAJU  
S/O RAMAKRISHNEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS



8. DEVARAJU  
S/O SHIVEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
9. SUDEEPU  
S/O DEVARAJU  
AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS
10. SHANTHAMMA  
W/O SHIVEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
11. DEVEGOWDA  
S/O LATE KEMPEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS  
ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF THE  
CHIKKAHOSAHALLI VILLAGE  
KASABA HOBALI  
KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK  
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 426
12. RAVI  
S/O LATE SHYANABHOGANA NINGEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS  
KAIGONAHALLI VILLAGE  
SANTHEBACHAHALLI HOBALI  
KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK  
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 426

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI V. S. DHANANJAYA, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. STATE BY  
KRISHNARAJAPETE TOWN POLICE STATION  
REPRESENTED BY SPP, BANGALORE



SUB-INSPECTOR/INVESTIGATION OFFICER  
KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK  
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 426

2. NINGEGOWDA  
S/O LATE BASAVEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS  
CHIKKAHOSAHALLI VILLAGE  
KASABA HOBLI  
KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK  
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 426

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI MAHESH SHETTY, HCGP FOR R1)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO  
QUASH THE FIR IN CR.NO.205/2022 AND FIR NO.196/2022  
U/S 143, 323, 354, 114, 506, 504 R/W 149 OF IPC VIDE  
ANNEXURE-A REGISTERED BY THE TOWN P.S.,  
KRISHNARAJAPETE TOWN ON THE FILE OF THE CIVIL JUDGE  
JUNIOR DIVISION AND JMFC, KRISHNARAJAPETE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,  
THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

**ORDER**

Learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for  
respondent-State submits that during pendency of the petition,  
police after investigation, have laid the chargesheet and  
thereafter learned Magistrate had taken cognizance.



**NC: 2023:KHC:26562**  
**CRL.P No. 11090 of 2022**

In view of the same, relief sought for in this petition does not survive consideration.

Accordingly, petition is dismissed as having become infructuous, reserving liberty to the petitioners to challenge the chargesheet.

**Sd/-**  
**JUDGE**

MKM  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 50  
CT: BHK