



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 31<sup>ST</sup> DAY OF OCTOBER, 2023**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.9744 OF 2018 (L-RES)**

**BETWEEN:**

M/S. KONARK POWER PROJECTS LIMITED.,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,  
MR. INUMULA RAJ BABU,  
S/O INUMULA RAJESHAM,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,  
BALLAPURA VILLAGE,  
BUGUDANAHALLI PANCHAYAT,  
TUMKUR TALUK,  
TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 107.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. FAYAZ SAB.B.G., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

**AND:**

1. SRI. H.KAMARAJ  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
2. SRI. JAYACHAMARAJU  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
3. SRI. S.JAYANNA  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
4. SRI. T.N.MANJUNATH  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,

Digitally signed by  
THEJASKUMAR N  
Location: HIGH  
COURT OF  
KARNATAKA



5. SRI. S.MANJUNATH  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
6. SRI. D.G.DAYANAND  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
7. SRI. N.G.DHANANJAYA  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
8. SRI. C.G.ASHWATH NARAYANA  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
9. SRI. K.GOPINATH  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
10. SRI. K.N.NAGARAJU  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
11. SRI. K.MOHAN KUMAR  
S/O NOT KNOWN,  
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,

ALL ARE REPRESENTED BY THE PRESIDENT,  
KONARK POWER PROJECT EMPLOYEES UNION,  
BALLAPURA GRAMA,  
BUGUDANAHALLI PANCHAYATH,  
TUMAKURU DISTRICT,  
TUMAKURU - 572 201.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. T.S.ANANTHARAM., ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226  
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN  
RELIEFS.



THIS WRIT PETITION IS COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

**ORDER**

When the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of petitioner either personally or through video conferencing.

As could be seen from the Writ Papers, the petition is filed in the year 2018. Now we are in the month of October 2023. The appeal is pending since five years. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition.

As already noted above, when the matter is called there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner either personally or through video conferencing. Hence, the Writ Petition is **dismissed** for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-  
JUDGE**

TKN  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23