IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AT AMARAVATI (Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT.

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 33885 OF 2022

Between:

Durga Satish, S/o Durga Murali Aged 42 yrs, Occ. Business R/at 8-3-678, 36 Pragati Nagar Usafguda, Khairatabad, Hyderabad Presently 13-9-8, Ramachandrapeta Tadepalligudem, West Godavari District.

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1. The State Of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary (Home) Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi.
- 2. The Director General of Police, D.G.P., office, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
- 3. The Deputy Superintedant of Police (DSP), Rajahmundry South, East Godavari District.
- 4. The Station House Officer, Tadepalligudem Town Police Station, Tadepalligudem, West Godavari District.
- 5. Venkateswarlu, Deputy Superintendant of Police Rajahmundry South East Godavari District.
- 6. Sri Y. Durga Prasad Reddy, S/o not known, Occ. Liquor Business Aged 50 yrs, R/at Gacchibowli , Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to pass an Order to Issue a Writ or Order or Direction more particularly in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3 and 5 harassing the petitioner continuously including by calling the petitioner through his mobile phone No.9182996969 harassing and pressurizing the petitioner to settle the Money dispute in between the petitioner as well as 6th respondent by taking advantage of the 6th respondent known fully well to the 2nd respondent in that pressurizing the same and also threatens in case non payment of the money due to the 6th respondent openly proclaiming that they will cause loss to the petitioner as well as hi family members including children at any extent including by registering a false case or what so ever all are Illegal, Unlawful, Violation of Article 14,19,21 of the Constitution of India Consequently to direct the Respondents not to Call the petitioner nor harass the petitioner

unlawful manner there by not to interfere into the monetary dispute in between the petitioner as well as 6th respondent in the interest of the justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass an Order to direct the Respondents not to interfere into the petitioner personal liberty as well as the dispute with 6th respondent ie., money disputes in that not to call for nor summon nor harass the petitioner by any manner except under the due process of law pending disposal of the main Writ Petition in the interest of the justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner :SRI R SIVA SAI SWARUP

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following: order

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SREENIVASA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.33885 of 2022

ORDER:-

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief;

"....to pass an Order to issue a Writ or Order or Direction more particularly in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3 and 5 harassing the petitioner continuously including by calling the petitioner through his mobile phone No.9182996969 harassing and pressurizing the petitioner to settle the Money dispute in between the petitioner as well as 6th respondent by taking advantage of the 6th respondent known fully well to the 2nd respondent in that pressurizing the same and also threatens in case non-payment of the money due to the 6th respondent openly proclaiming that they will cause loss to the petitioner as well as his family members including children at any extent including by registering a false case or whatsoever all are illegal, unlawful, violation of Articles 14, 19, 21 of the Constitution of India. Consequently to direct the Respondents not to Call the petitioner nor harass the petitioner unlawful manner there by not to interfere into the monetary dispute in between the petitioner as well as 6th respondent in the interest of the justice and to pass..."

- 2. Heard both sides and perused the record.
- 3. At the time of hearing, the learned Government Pleader for Home, on instructions from the police, submitted that the police are not interfering with the financial transactions between the petitioner and

6th respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the same may be recorded and writ petition may be closed. Recording the said submission of the learned Government Pleader, the writ petition is closed. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, in the Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- SHAIK MOHD. RAFI ASSISTANT REGISTRAR SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. One CC to SRI R SIVA SAI SWARUP Advocate [OPUC]
- 2. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
- 3. Two CD Copies

GSR


Pythe

HIGH COURT

DATED:28/06/2023

ORDER

WP.No.33885 of 2022



CLOSED OF WP WITHOUT COSTS