

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.V.S.S.SOMAYAJULU**  
**&**  
**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE DUPPALA VENKATA RAMANA**  
**Writ Appeal Nos.812 & 408 of 2023**

**COMMON JUDGMENT:***(per Sri Justice D.V.S.S.Somayajulu)*

Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

2. The essential grievance expressed by the appellants is that they were not given an opportunity of filing a counter and bringing all the facts to the notice of the learned single Judge.

3. Sri K. Sreedhara Murthy, learned counsel points out that the writ petition was allowed on the ground that the respondents did not issue any notice to the writ petitioners. He has filed a sample of notice dated 01.08.2021 which is addressed to an employee. It is his contention that similar notices were addressed to the petitioners also.

4. Sri P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel agrees that the matter was disposed at a stage of admission.

5. In this view of the matter, since the issues raised, demand a clear and comprehensive adjudication, this

Court is of the opinion that writ appeals should be allowed and matter should be remanded to the learned Single Judge. The appellants are directed to positively file their counters along with all the relevant documents and argue the matter on its own merits.

6. Nothing is expressed on the merits of the matter, both the writ appeals are allowed. The case is remanded back to the learned Single Judge with a request to dispose the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE D.V.S.S.SOMAYAJULU**

---

**JUSTICE DUPPALA VENKATA RAMANA**

Date: 31.08.2023.  
AG

**86**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.V.S.S.SOMAYAJULU  
&  
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE DUPPALA VENKATA RAMANA**

**Writ Appeal Nos. 812 & 408 of 2023**

**Dated: 31.08.2023**

AG