

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN**

**WRIT PETITION No.4909 of 2023**

Smt. D. Vinayakamma,  
W/o.Krishnappa, aged about 54 years,  
Occ: Agriculture, R/o.H.No.8-140, T.  
Vadduru of Pangurugunta Village,  
Palamaneru Mandal, Chittoor District,  
A.P.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. By  
its Principal Secretary, Revenue  
Department, Secretariat Buildings,  
Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur  
District and 3 others.

....Respondents

**ORDER:**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the  
respondents.

2. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that, the 3<sup>rd</sup> and  
4<sup>th</sup> respondents are trying to interfere with the peaceful  
possession and enjoyment of the subject land of the  
petitioner in an extent of Acres 0.15 cents in Survey No.19/1,  
Acres 0.95 cents in Survey No.19-3 and Acres 0.07 cents in  
Survey No.19/4 in total Acres 1.17 cents situated at

Panguragunta Revenue Village, Palamaner Mandal, Chittoor District without following the due procedure.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was initially given D-Form-7 with respect to the land in an extent of Acres 0.15 cents in Survey No.19/1, Acres 0.95 cents in Survey No.19-3 and Acres 0.07 cents in Survey No.19/4 in total Acres 1.17 cents situated at Panguragunta Revenue Village, Palamaner Mandal, Chittoor District. Ever since, she has been in possession and enjoyment of the same without deviating/violating the terms and conditions of the said D-Form-7. The Adangal copy/Pahani copy dated 07.02.2023 discloses the Survey No.19/1 for an extent of Acres 0.15 cents is in the name of the petitioner. Similarly, the Adangal/Pahani copy dated 07.02.2023 discloses the Survey No.19-3 for an extent of Acres 0.95 cents in the name of the petitioner. Similarly, another Adangal/Pahani copy dated 07.02.2023 discloses the Survey No.19/4 for an extent of Acres 0.07 cents in the name of the petitioner. Thus the Pahani Copy shows the classification of the subject land into different survey numbers for different extents. While so, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent

without following any due procedure trying to dispossess the petitioner from the subject land.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents submits that, the respondent Nos.3 and 4 would follow the due procedure with respect to the subject land.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioner shall not be dispossessed from the subject lands, if she is otherwise in possession as on today by the respondent Nos.3 and 4 except by following the due process of law. However, it does not preclude for the respondents to protect/recover the subject land strictly in accordance with law.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN**

28.02.2023  
PGT