

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA
WRIT PETITION No.1512 of 2023

Between:-

M/s.Pinakini Sheep Farms Private Limited,
Rep. by its Managing Director – Ch.Ajay Ghosh
..... Petitioner
and

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District,
and others
..... Respondents

Counsel for Petitioner : Mr.Harinath Reddy Soma

Counsel for R.1 to R.3 : The G.P. for Revenue

Counsel for R.4 : -----

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue representing respondent Nos.1 to 3. Notice to the 4th respondent is deemed not necessary, as the matter is being disposed of, without going into merits of the case.

2. The writ petition is filed aggrieved by the inaction of the 3rd respondent in disposing of the grievance raised by the 4th respondent vide R.C.D.T. No.714/2019 and keeping the properties of the petitioner-company situated in

Chejerla and Yanamadala in Disputed Properties List as illegal, arbitrary and for a consequential direction to the 3rd respondent to dispose of the said matter expeditiously.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while referring to the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, advanced arguments, *inter alia*, contending that pursuant to the representation made by the 4th respondent dated 04.12.2019 to the 3rd respondent seeking to mutate her name as Managing Director of the petitioner-company in the revenue records pertaining to the lands of the petitioner-company, which are situated in Chejerla Bit-II Village and Yanamadala Village, the 3rd respondent had taken up the matter and issued notices to the petitioner, to which objections were filed in January 2020. He submits that so far the 3rd respondent has not passed any orders in the said matter, though more than three years have elapsed. He submits that the reasons for not disposing of the said matter are not forthcoming and in fact the 3rd respondent is under an obligation to dispose of the application for correction/updating of the revenue records

within thirty days from the date of receipt of the application.

4. Be that as it may. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to entertainment of the above referred case and placing the subject matter properties in Disputed Category, the petitioner is subjected to serious prejudice and irreparable loss. Accordingly, he seeks appropriate directions for disposal of the above said case, after giving due opportunity to the petitioner.

5. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue seeks further time to secure instructions in the matter with regard to status of the above said case. However, this Court, considering the grievance of the petitioner and perusing the prayer, deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the 3rd respondent to pass appropriate orders in R.C.D.T. No.714/2019 strictly in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Needless to state that before passing orders, as directed above, the 3rd

respondent shall issue appropriate notices to the petitioner as also to the 4th respondent.

6. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, all pending applications shall stand closed.

January 31, 2023
vasu

NINALA JAYASURYA, J