

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**MCRC No. 2989 of 2023**

Naresh Satnami S/o Late Ramadhar Satnami Aged About 41 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Sadakpara, Tusar, P.S. - Tusar, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

**---- Applicant**

**Versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer, P S - Azad Chouck, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondent**

*CAUSE TITLE TAKEN FROM CIS PERIPHERY*

---

|                      |   |                           |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| For Applicant        | : | Mr. Devershi Thakur, Adv. |
| For Respondent/State | : | Mr. B.P. Banjare, P.L.    |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Deepak Kumar Tiwari**

**Order On Board**

**30.06.2023**

1. The accused/applicant who is in custody 20.12.2022 in connection with Crime No.348/2022 registered at Police Station – Azad Chouck, District – Raipur, C.G. for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 201 of the IPC, has filed this application for grant of bail.
2. As per the prosecution case, the complainant Kuleshwar has lodged a FIR stating in it that the present applicant has entered into an agreement for sale of the land which belongs to one Sanjay Agrawal and when he contacted Sanjay Agrawal he denied that he has not given any authority to applicant for executing such agreement. The complainant has also paid an advance money by way of cheque i.e. Rs.8, 11,000/- and in cash Rs.3,00,000/- entotaling Rs.11,11,000/- and

thereby cheated the complainant. Based on above allegations the aforesaid offences have been registered and applicant has been arrested.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. It is next argued that the applicant is an associate of Sanjay Agrawal and doing business of the land with Sanjay Agrawal and so there was a dispute about the brokerage amount therefore he has done this act and would submit that the complainant is also a land broker. It is further submitted that the applicant has no criminal past, he is in jail since 20.12.2022, investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has been filed, therefore, if he may be set at liberty by granting bail, he would abide by all terms and conditions imposed on him while granting bail.
4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for bail.
5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, looking to the nature of allegations, nature of accusation, the pre trial detention and that the investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has been filed, therefore, considering these aspects of the matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant.
6. Accordingly, the regular bail application is **allowed** and it is directed that the applicant on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Court, the applicant shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-
  - (a) He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to

dissuade them from disclosing such fact to the Court,

(b) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial,

(c) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of case,

(d) the applicant and the sureties shall submit a copy of their Aadhar Card along with a coloured postcard full size photo having printed the Aadhar number on it, which shall be verified by the concerned trial Court.

(e) he shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-

**(Deepak Kumar Tiwari)**  
**Judge**

*yasmin*