

NAFR

HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 2017 of 2022**

1. Janak Lal Sahu S/o Shri Gajadhar Prasad Sahu, Aged About 52 Years R/o Village Birkoni, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh., District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
2. Devendra Singh Thakur, S/o Shri Dariyav Singh Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Raitum District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
3. Dihu Ram Sahu S/o Shri Dukalu Ram Sahu Aged About 48 Years R/o Village Birkoni, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

-----Petitioners**VERSUS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Chief Secretary, Department of Commerce and Industries and Public Undertakings, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, General Administration Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Forest, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Conservator Of Forest, Chhattisgarh Aranya Bhavanm Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Chhattisgarh Rajya Van Vikas Nigam Ltd., Through The Managing Director, Office Campus, Block 7(A) Sector-24, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Divisional Manager, Barnawapara Division, Chhattisgarh Rajya Van Vikas Nigam Ltd., District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh, District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

-----Respondents

(cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner : Mr. Shantam Awasthi, Advocate
 For Respondent-State : Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Dy. Adv. General.
 For Respondent No. 5&6 : Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiya, Advocate

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**ORDER****31/01/2023**

1. Petitioners in this writ petition have prayed for following reliefs.

“10 (i) To kindly call for the record of the case from the respondents.

(ii) To kindly direct the respondents to consider

the case of the petitioners for regularization in the respondent Nigam.

(iii) To kindly quash the advertisement dated 29/10/2021 issued for recruitment and appointment on the post of Field Man (क्षेत्ररक्षक). And also kindly issue such direction to the respondents to reconsider the advertisement adhering to the provisions of the order dated 17/01/2014 (Annexure P/12).

(iv) To kindly direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization within a fixed time frame and the petitioners may be provided all consequential benefits.

(v) To kindly direct the respondents to keep post vacant for the petitioners till the consideration of their claim for regularization.

(vi) To kindly make any other order that may be deemed fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case including awarding of the costs to the petitioner."

2. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that at this stage petitioners are pressing relief No. 10(ii) and 10(iv). He submits that petitioners are working as daily wage labourer under Respondent No. 5 as *Grader and Chowkidar*. Respondent No. 5 issued a letter on 31.12.2018 addressing to all the Divisional Managers of Chhattisgarh State Forest Development Corporation mentioning that details of additional qualification and physical ability of all the daily wages labourer appointed on or after 01.01.1998 be prepared and to be sent to the office of Regional General Manager. The Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forest *vide* letter dated 10.06.2019 intimated the Regional President of Chhattisgarh, *Dainik Vetan Bhogi Karmachari Sangh* informing that the Committee is constituted for analyzing the request of regularization of daily wage labourer, but till date petitioners have not been regularized in their service.
3. Mr. Dubey, learned counsel for Respondent-State submits that petitioners are employees under Respondents No. 5 and 6 and the claim of petitioners is against them. He is not representing

Respondents No. 5 & 6.

4. Mr. Badgaiya, learned counsel for Respondents No. 5 and 6 submits that details of daily wage employees from the different offices have been called and it has been forwarded to Respondent-State on 27.01.2021 for taking appropriate decision and passing appropriate orders. Decision is to be taken by Respondent No. 3.
5. At this stage, learned counsel for petitioners submits that grievance of petitioners would be redressed if a direction be issued to Respondent No. 3 to take decision with regard to claim of petitioner for his regularization in service, expeditiously within specified time.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. Considering the submission of counsel for the parties, taking into account the documents placed on record, particularly, Annexure P-3, which is letter dated 31.12.2018 issued to all the Divisional Managers, C.G. State Forest Development Corporation Ltd., Respondent No. 5 has forwarded the list of daily wage employees working under it on 27.01.2021, I find it appropriate to dispose of this Writ petition at this stage, directing Respondent No. 3 to take decision on the list of daily wage employees forwarded on 27.01.2021, expeditiously within a period of **06 months** from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
8. With the aforesaid observation and direction, writ petition is finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge