

NAFR

HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CONT No. 164 of 2019**

1. Durgabai W/o Dhani Ram Aged About 50 Years R/o Village Gokulpur, P.H. No. 29, Ra.Ni.Ma. Sakri, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chaitram S/o Jagat Ram Aged About 67 Years R/o Village Gokulpur, P.H. No. 29, Ra.Ni.Ma. Sakri, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
3. Shatruhan Prasad S/o Lalji Aged About 64 Years R/o Village Gokulpur, P.H. No. 29, Ra.Ni.Ma. Sakri, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. Shrawan Kumar S/o Parasram Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Gokulpur, P.H. No. 29, Ra.Ni.Ma. Sakri, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
5. Dilip Kumar S/o Madan Lal Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Gokulpur, P.H. No. 29, Ra.Ni.Ma. Sakri, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

Kirti Maan Singh Rathore Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Kota, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioners	:	Mr. A.S. Rajput, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Mr. Saket Pandey, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Anup Majumdar, Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**Order On Board****31/07/2023**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the order passed in the writ petition dated 16.07.2018 was a direction issued to the respondent No.3 therein i.e. Sub Divisional Officer (R) -cum- Land Acquisition Officer to consider and decide

representation of the petitioner and the State authorities have considered and decided the representation observing that the petitioners can approach appropriate authority under Section 64 (1) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 and intimated the same vide memo dated 01.03.2019. This document has filed along with reply dated 25.03.2019.

2. In the aforementioned facts of the case, considering that the order is a direction to respondent No.3 therein to consider and decide representation and the memo/letter dated 01.03.2019 would show that representation of the petitioners was considered and disposed of directing them to approach the proper authority under the law.
3. In the aforementioned facts of the case, I do not find any good ground go continue with the contempt proceeding any more.
4. Accordingly, contempt proceeding initiated against respondents is hereby dropped. Notice issued to respondents stands discharged.
5. Contempt petition is closed.
6. Petitioners will be at liberty to take recourse to law if the grievance of the petitioners still subsists.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge