

Pitamber Gope	Petitioner/Applicant
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	Opposite Party

For the Petitioner/Applicant : Mr. Gaurav, Adv.
For the State : Mrs. Snehlika Bhagat, A.P.P.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the State.
2. The applicant who is in custody since 31.08.2022 has approached this Court for grant of regular bail in connection with Rania P.S. Case No.40 of 2022, registered for the offence under Sections 25(1-B)a, 25(6), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act and under Section 17 of the C.L.A. Act.
3. It appears that the applicant has been made accused under the Arms Act. The applicant has been apprehended with one “*desi kata*” and with two bullets.
4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant(s) that complete set of FIR along with its enclosure have been annexed with this bail application and there is no suppression on his/her part.
5. Innocence has been claimed by the learned counsel for the applicant and undertaking has been given for participation in the trial. On the above basis, prayer for bail has been made.
6. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.
7. Considering the above facts, the applicant, named above, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khunti in connection with Rania P.S. Case No.40 of 2022, subject to condition that **one of the bailors will be close relative of the applicant and the applicant will report to the concerned police station once in a months.** Further, the applicant will submit self-attested photocopy of his Aadhaar Card and also submit his mobile number before the learned court below which he will always keep active and will not change it during pendency of this case without prior permission of the court.

Amar/-