

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No.2427 of 2022

Arunoday Pandit son of Hareram Pandit, resident of Village Jeruwa, PO
K. Bhoktadih, PS Masalia, District Dumka.

... **Petitioner**

-versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Secretary, Primary Education and Literacy Department,
Government of Jharkhand, Office at Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi.
3. The Director, Primary Education and Literacy Department,
Government of Jharkhand, Office at Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Santhal Pargana
Division, Dumka.
5. The Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar.
6. The District Superintendent of Education, Deoghar.
7. The Accountant General (A&E), Jharkhand, Ranchi.

... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN

For the Petitioner : Mr. Durga C. Mishra, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Faisal Allam, AC to SC (Mines) III

04/ 28.02.2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that suffice it would be if the representation of the petitioner be directed to disposed of by the respondent No.3. He submits that the case of the petitioner stands on similar footing to that of Nagendra Nath Mandal, who has been given benefit of the First Time Bound Promotion, which has been calculated from the date of his appointment. He submits that earlier writ petitions were filed by several persons being W.P.(S) No.6138 of 2019 and analogous cases, basing on which Nagendra Nath Mandal, who was the writ petitioner in W.P.(S) No.6336 of 2019, has been granted the same benefit. It has been submitted that Harashankar Mandal, Md. Nasiruddin Mian also got the same benefit and their time bound promotion has been calculated from the date of their initial appointment. He further submits that the grievance of the petitioner is that he has been granted the benefit of first time bound promotion but from the date of grant of pay scale, which should have been from the date of the appointment, which is 22.06.1976.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission, I direct the petitioner to file a fresh representation within a period of four weeks annexing all the documents, which are in his favour before the respondent No.3, who will look

-: 2 :-

into the matter and resolve the grievance of the petitioner within a period of twelve weeks. If it is found that the case of the petitioner stands similar to that of Nagendra Nath Mandal and others, the said benefit should be also extended to him. If the case of the petitioner is rejected, reasons should be communicated to the petitioner within the aforesaid period.

4. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ananda Sen, J.)