

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
B.A. No. 4348 of 2023**

Aman Khan .... .... Petitioner  
Versus  
The State of Jharkhand .... .... Opp. Party

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHASH CHAND**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha, A.P.P.

**Order No. 02/ dated 29.09.2023**

Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. on behalf of State.

2. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant- Aman Khan with the prayer to release on bail in connection with S.T.No. 116 of 2023, arising out of Jugsalai P.S.

Case No. 77 of 2022, registered under Sections 341/342/323/324/ 307/379/386/411/34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of the Additional District Judge-II, Jamshedpur.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the F.I.R. of this case was lodged against six named accused including the applicant with these allegations that the informant Md. Shamshad Ali was coming home along with his friend Saif Quresi by the scooty. Amid the way, Danish Neta and Aman Khan intercepted his scooty and asked the informant to repair his A.C. Since the persons were familiar with the informant, he followed them and where they had intruded in a partly constructed house wherein the accused Haider Gaddi and Raju Gaddi and two other persons began to abuse him. Danish Neta assaulted him with the knife which hit to the hand

of the informant. Rest of the accused persons assaulted with belt and pipe. 5,000/- rupees were taken from the informant and the scooty was also taken by the accused persons and fled away and thereafter one Danish Neta was apprehended and from whose possession 2500/- rupee in cash and scooty was recovered.

4. The learned Counsel for the applicant has submitted though his name figures in the F.I.R. and he was also along with Danish Neta but no specific role has been attributed to the applicant in regard to assaulting to the informant. The specific role has been attributed to Danish Neta whose bail has already been rejected by this Court. Though Raju Gaddi whose Bail Application has been allowed by this Bench in B.A.No. 9905 of 2022 vide order dated 22.11.2022 has been attributed role of hurling abuse yet no role has been attributed to the applicant to show his complicity in commission of the alleged offence. So far as his criminal antecedent is concerned i.e. of 05 cases, out of them in 03 cases he has been acquitted and in 02 cases he is already on bail. He has been languishing in Jain since 06.08.2022.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposed the contentions made by the learned counsel for the applicant.

6. In view of the submissions made and materials on record, the bail application of the applicant is hereby allowed. Let the applicant be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand)** with two sureties of the

like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned in aforesaid case.

**(Subhash Chand, J.)**

P.K.S