

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
(Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction)

B.A.No. 3026 of 2023

Charku Sao @ Ajay Kumar Sahu Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RATNAKER BHENGRA

For the Petitioner : Mr. Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate
For the State : Mr. S.K. Srivastava, APP

Order No. 02 /Dated: 28th April, 2023

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case has been instituted against two unknown persons and the allegations are regarding the threatening and demand of ransom. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has transpired at a belated stage of investigation and the TIP has been conducted on 10.11.2022 after about four months of the occurrence and after 20 days from the date of custody of the petitioner, therefore, the delay in the TIP create doubt about the TIP itself. It is further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and no money transaction apparently took place. Counsel further submits that petitioner has only one another criminal antecedent against him for which he is on bail. He further submits that no firing was made and no arms has been recovered.

Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has opposed the bail petition and submitted that the accusation consist of threatening with pistol and a shot was also fired and therefore the offence under section 307 IPC is fully made out apart from the fact that the allegations are also made under Arms Act and he has one criminal antecedent against him, therefore, he he seems to be a habitual criminal.

Having heard both counsels, gone through the records of the case and in the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to release the petitioner, named above, on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (rupees twenty five thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Assistant Sessions Judge-1, Simdega in

connection with S.T. Case No. 27 of 2023 arising out of Bolba P.S. Case No. 16 of 2022, corresponding to G.R. Case No. 445 of 2022, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall report to the concerned police station on every last Saturday of the month, between 01:00 and 05:00 p.m for one year, failing or if any adverse remarks regarding his non-appearance at the police station, his bail application shall be liable to be cancelled. Any exemption to such attendance shall be done so after direction of the learned Court below and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date of trial before the Court below unless dispensed with by the learned Court below.

(Ratnaker Bhengra, J.)

Sharda/