

Ravi Pasi @ Ravi Kumar	....	....	<b>Petitioner(s)/Applicant(s)</b>
	<b>Versus</b>		
The State of Jharkhand	....	....	<b>Opposite Party</b>

For the Petitioner(s)/Applicant(s) : Mr. Raj Nandan Chatterjee, Adv.  
For the State : Mr. R.R.R. Das, A.P.P.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the State.
2. The applicant who is in custody since 12.10.2022 has approached this Court for grant of regular bail in connection with Barora P.S. Case No.66 of 2022, registered for the offence under Sections 341, 324, 326, 307, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 27(1) & 27(2) of the Arms Act. Now the case is pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate-1<sup>st</sup> Class, Dhanbad.
3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant(s) that complete set of FIR along with its enclosure have been annexed with this bail application and there is no suppression on his/her part.
4. Innocence has been claimed by the learned counsel for the applicant and undertaking has been given for participation in the trial. It has been submitted that the fire has been opened by other co-accused persons not by this applicant. He was present at the place of occurrence on another motorcycle. On the above basis, prayer for bail has been made.
5. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and it has been submitted that he has been roped in this case on the basis of Section 34 of the IPC and the injured witness has also supported the allegation.
6. Considering the materials available on record, I am not inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail, at this stage. Accordingly, the present bail application stands rejected.

**(Rajesh Kumar, J.)**

**Amar/-**