

GAHC010246362023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/1052/2023

KHOGEN HALOI AND 9 ORS
S/O LT. SANTO RAM HALOI, R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA, BHAIRA SUPA,
P.S./P.O.-TIHU, DIST-NALBARI-781378

2: BILLESWAR BAISHYA
S/O LT. DHARANI BAISHYA
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

3: MRINAL HALOI
S/O BHUMIDHAR HALOI
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

4: BHUMIDHAR HALOI
S/O LT. TARANI HALOI
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

5: BHRIGUJIT BARMAN
S/O DASARATH BARMAN
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

6: LAKHI KT. KALITA
S/O LT. DEVA RAM KALITA

R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

7: DASHARATH BARMAN
S/O LT. UMA CHARAN BARMAN
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

8: KULAJIT BARMAN @ KULADIP
S/O DASARATH BARMAN
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

9: SMTI. PALKHILA BARMAN
H/O DASARATH BARMAN
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-781378

10: NILAM HALOI
S/O BHUMIDHAR HALOI
R/O VILL- HARIBHANGA
BHAIRA SUPA
P.S./P.O.-TIHU
DIST-NALBARI-78137

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

2:DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM POLICE HEADQUARTER
GUWAHATI
ULUBARI
KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

3:SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DISTRICT NALBARI
ASSAM

4:SH. RATNA KT SHARMA
SUB INSPECTOR
OFFICER IN CHARGE
TIHU POLICE STATION
NALBARI
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : S BORGOHAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND

ORDER

31.10.2023

Heard Mr S Borgohain, learned counsel for the petitioners and heard Mr K Baishya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent No. 1.

2. The petitioners, 1.Khogen Haloi, 2. Billeswar Baishya, 3. Mrinal Haloi, 4. Bhumidhar Haloi, 5. Bhrigujit Barman, 6. Lakhi Kt. Kalita, 7. Dasharath Barman, 8. Kulajit Barman @ Kuladip, 9. Smti. Pakhila Barman, and 10. Nilam Haloi, have filed this application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act, 1963, with prayer for condoning the delay of 58 days in filing the connected Criminal Revision Petition under Section 389 CrPC, read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has raised no objection.

4. Delay is condoned.

5. As the matter is urgent, Registry is directed to register the Criminal Revision Petition and list the petition immediately.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant