

GAHC010212102023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/3233/2023

PAPPU ROY @ PAPO RAJ
S/O SWADESH ROY,
R/O TULASIBARI, P.S.- RANGIA,
DIST.- KAPRUP (R), ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R K PRADHAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MALASRI NANDI

ORDER

Date : 22.12.2023

Heard Mr. R.K.Pradhan, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. S.H.Borah, learned Addl.Public prosecutor for the State respondent.

By this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioners, namely, **Pappu Roy @ Papo Raj** has prayed for pre-arrest bail apprehending arrest in connection with **Borpathar PS Case No. 39/2023** under Sections 120B/379/411/420/34 of the IPC r/w Section 23 of

the Petroleum Act.

Case diary is received.

Mr. R.K.Pradhan, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the conditions of the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioner vide order, dated 20.09.2023, the petitioner has appeared before the I.O. and got his statements recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C.

On perusal of the case diary, it is seen that the petitioner is co-operating in the investigation and there is no adverse report against him from the I.O.. The case diary reveals no justifiable reason for custodial interrogation of the petitioner in the interest of further investigation of the case.

In view of above, the interim anticipatory bail granted in favour of the petitioner named above, vide order, dated **20.09.2023**, is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions (i) that the petitioner shall appear before the I.O. as and when required for the purpose of investigation; (ii) that the petitioner shall not hamper or tamper with the investigation in any manner.

With the above directions, the anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

Return the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant