## GAHC010129402022



## THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No.: AB/1844/2022

SWAPAN KUMAR DAS AND 2 ORS S/O- LATE NARAYAN DAS, R/O- HOUSE NO. 35, RAMKRISHNA PATH, SANI MANDIR GOLL, FATASIL AMBARI, P.S. FATASIL AMBARI, P.S. FATASIL AMBARI, GUWAHATI-18, DIST. KAMRUP(M), ASSAM

2: SHIV SANKAR DAS S/O- LATE NARAYAN DAS R/O- HOUSE NO. 35 RAMKRISHNA PATH SANI MANDIR GOLL FATASIL AMBARI P.S. FATASIL AMBARI P.S. FATASIL AMBARI GUWAHATI-18 DIST. KAMRUP(M) ASSAM

3: SREEKUMAR DAS S/O- SWAPAN KUMAR DAS R/O- HOUSE NO. 35 RAMKRISHNA PATH SANI MANDIR GOLL FATASIL AMBARI P.S. FATASIL AMBARI P.S. FATASIL AMBARI GUWAHATI-18 DIST. KAMRUP(M) ASSA

**VERSUS** 

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR REPRESENTED BY THE P.P., ASSAM

Page No.# 2/3

2:TAPAN KR. DAS S/O- LATE NARAYAN CH. DAS R/O- JONEPUR DISHAREE COMPLEX PALLADAHA P.O. KATAGANJ P.S. BIZPUR PIN- 741250 DIST. NORTH 24 PARGANA WEST BENGA

**Advocate for the Petitioner**: MR. P K ROYCHOUDHURY

**Advocate for the Respondent**: PP, ASSAM

## BEFORE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND

## **ORDER**

Date: 28-02-2023

- **1.** Heard Mr. A. Lal, learned counsel for the petitioners namely, [1] Sri Swapan Kumar Das [2] Sri Shiv Sankar Das and [3] Shri Sreekumar Das.
- **2.** By this application under Section 438, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 [CrPC], the petitioner has approached this Court seeking the benefit of pre-arrest bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Fatashil Ambari Police Station Case dated 30.05.2022
- **3.** Heard Mr. B.B. Gogoi, learned Addl. P.P. for State of Assam.
- 4. The petitioners are embroiled in a civil dispute with the informant. There are several ongoing litigations between both the sides. Thereafter the informant lodged the FIR with the police with allegations that the petitioners have forged his signature in some documents stating that the informant had no objection in construction of a building over the disputed land.
- **5.** I have perused the CD and I have considered the submissions at the Bar. This is indeed a case arising out of a civil dispute between three brothers. The petitioners have also appeared before the IO and their statements are recorded.

- **6.** Considering all aspects an in view of my foregoing discussions, the interim order dated 30.06.2022 is hereby made absolute under the conditions that –
- i. the petitioners will refrain from such activities with which they are alleged; and
- ii. the petitioners will maintain peace and not exercise threats to witnesses. Send back the CD.

**JUDGE** 

**Comparing Assistant**