

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 536 of 2023

Smt. Wahida Banu & 18 ors.

...*Petitioner/s*

Vs.

State of Manipur & 38 ors.

...*Respondent/s*

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

30-11-2023

Heard Mr. N. Jotendro, learned senior counsel assisted by Md. Murtaza Ahmed, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners; Mr. M. Devananda, learned Addl. AG assisted by Mr. Tomclist, learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Mr. M. Gunedhor, learned counsel appearing for the private respondent Nos. 5 to 39.

The present writ petition had been filed with a prayer for quashing and setting aside the impugned notices dated 03-03-2023 and 04-04-2023 issued by the Deputy Commissioner, Imphal East. By the said impugned notices, the Deputy Commissioner, Imphal East had appointed the Administrative Committee of the Gram Panchayat of the Imphal East District for a period of six months or till the constitution of the duly elected Gram Panchayat, whichever is earlier. The learned counsel appearing for the parties submitted that the term of the office of the appointed Administrative Committee had already expired by lapse of six months from the date of issue of the impugned notice and accordingly, no cause survive for adjudication on merit and the present writ petition has become infructuous.

It has been submitted by all the counsel appearing for the parties that the question of law that has been raised in the present writ petition be kept open for adjudication in the appropriate proceeding.

In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition is hereby dismissed as being infructuous. It is made clear that the point of law raised in the present writ petition is kept open for adjudication in appropriate proceedings.

JUDGE

Dhakshori

LAISHRA M
DHAKESH
ORI DEVI

Digitally signed
by LAISHRAM
DHAKESHORI
DEVI
Date: 2023.11.30
15:09:54 +05'30'