



THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM : GANGTOK

(Civil Extraordinary Jurisdiction)

DATED : 11th April, 2023

SINGLE BENCH : THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE

WP(C) No.34 of 2019

Petitioner : Sikkim Manipal University

versus

Respondents : Union of India and Others

Application under Articles 226/227 of
the Constitution of India

Appearance

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Senior Advocate with Mr. T. R. Barfungpa, Mr. Aman Ahluwalia and Mr. Hem Lall Manger, Advocates for the Petitioner.

Mr. Karma Thinlay, Central Government Counsel for the Respondent No.1.

Mr. A. Mariarputham, Senior Advocate with Mr. Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

Mr. S. K. Chettri, Government Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

O R D E R (O R A L)

Meenakshi Madan Rai, J.

Heard Learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner-University, who submits that the prayer in this Petition *inter alia* was for issuance for an appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing the letter of Respondent No.2, dated 15-11-2019, bearing F.No.81-3/2017 (DEB-IV), vide which the recognition granted to the Petitioner-University to admit students in the distance mode, for the academic year 2019-20 was withdrawn and to restore the approval earlier granted on 27-06-2019.

That, in view of the passage of time the Petition may be disposed of, however the issue arising on account of Annexure P-28, at Page No.211 of this Petition, be left open for decision on merits.



The Respondents have no opposing submissions to make.

Considered.

In light of the foregoing submissions, Writ Petition stands disposed of, however the issue arising in Annexure P-28 is left open for decision on merits.

(Meenakshi Madan Rai)
Judge
11-04-2023