

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17835 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1206 Year-2022 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

ANUPAM KUMARI WIFE OF RAJKUMAR MEHTA @ HARENDRA
KUMAR, DAUGHTER OF DILIP SINGH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE
DHANAUTI, PS AND DISTT JEHANABAD

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. THE VIGILANCE INVESTIGATION BUREAU, PATNA BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Paras Nath, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ajay Mishra, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-05-2023 Heard Mr. Paras Nath, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ajay Mishra, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and Mr. Anil Singh, learned counsel appearing for the Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna.

2. Petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Jehanabad (Nagar) PS Case No. 1206/2022 dated 24.12.2022 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(B) of the IPC.

3. As per allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner obtained appointment on the post of Panchayat Teacher on the basis of forged intermediate certificate.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had submitted intermediate certificate at the time



of her employment in the year 2015 and as soon as she came to know that her appointment was made on the basis of forged certificate, she resigned from the post of Panchayat Teacher on 19.01.2019. He further submits that the petitioner is a lady and now she is not in service.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the Vigilance Department opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the Bihar School Examination Board has confirmed that the certificate produced by the petitioner is forged.

6. Regards being had to the submissions made by the parties, taking into consideration the fact that the allegation against the petitioner is based upon a document, there is no likelihood that the petitioner will abscond or tamper with the evidences, the petitioner has already resigned from her service and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Jehanabad in



connection with Jehanabad (Nagar) PS Case No. 1206/2022,
subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2)
CrPC.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

