Court No. - 93

Case: - CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 1964 of 2003

Revisionist :- Ram Charittar

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Others **Counsel for Revisionist :-** M. Sarwar Khan **Counsel for Opposite Party :-** Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shamim Ahmed, J.

List has been revised.

None has appeared on behalf of the revisionist to press this revision.

Heard Sri Vinod Kant, learned Additional Advocate General assisted by Sri Abhishek Shukla, learned A.G.A.-I for the State.

This revision has been filed against the judgment and order dated 28.04.2003 passed by Additional Session Judge/F.T.C.-3 Janupur in Criminal Trial No. 315 of 1991 by which opposite parties No. 2 to 9 have been acquitted from the offences under Section 148, 223/149/325/149 and 436/149 I.P.C. Police Station Mariyahun District Jaunpur.

learned Additional Advocate General submits that the present revision is of the year, 2003 and it appears that due to efflux of time the revisionist and his counsel have lost interest to pursue this matter, and there appears no illegality or infirmity in the impugned judgment and order passed by the court below, therefore, the present revision may be dismissed.

I have gone through the judgment and order passed by the court below and also perused the record. I have also considered the arguments advanced by the Additional Advocate General. Further no one appeared on behalf of revisionist to assist the Court pointing out any illegality or infirmity in the judgment and order passed by the court below.

In view of the above, after having gone through the judgment and order under challenge, this Court comes to the conclusion that the same need no interference as there is no illegality or infirmity in the judgment and order under challenge, and further there appears force in the argument of the Additional Advocate General that due to efflux of time the revisionist and his counsel have lost interest in pursuing the matter, therefore, the present revision is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the present revision is dismissed.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned District and

Sessions Judge for its onwards transmission to the concerned Magistrate for compliance who will proceed further in the matter.

The file is consigned to record.

Let the lower Court record, if any, be returned back to the concerned Court.

Order Date :- 31.3.2022

Arvind