Singh, Advocate, for the hore, A.G.A. assisted by Mrs. der, for the State of Uttarakhand. andra, Advocate, for respondent
Singh, Advocate, for the hore, A.G.A. assisted by Mrs.
Singh, Advocate, for the hore, A.G.A. assisted by Mrs. der, for the State of Uttarakhand.
hore, A.G.A. assisted by Mrs. ler, for the State of Uttarakhand.
ler, for the State of Uttarakhand.
ler, for the State of Uttarakhand.
andra, Advocate, for respondent
FIR No. 37 of 2011 dated 31st
stered as against the five named
their alleged involvement in the
ffences under Sections 420,467,
ich stood registered in pursuance
was passed by the Magistrate
) of Section 156 of the Cr.P.C.
elusion of the investigation, a
omitted, being Chargesheet No.
h July, 2011, which had resulted
riminal Case No. 2396 of 2011,
asraj and others. Consequent to
tion of the case, the summoning
26 <sup>th</sup> September, 2011, and the
esently pending consideration
Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate
Judge (S.D.), District Haridwar,
ned a new Criminal Case No.838

Out of the five named accused person in the FIR, one had met with the sad demise, and as a consequence thereto, the present proceedings of Case No. 2396 of 2011, is being carried as against the present applicants to the C482 Application, where chargesheet and summoning order had been put to challenge.

The C482 Application is accompanied with the Compounding Application No. 1 of 2022, duly signed by the parties to the proceedings, including the complainant Sudesh Devi.

The parties are present in person, duly identified by their respective counsel, including the fact that the Compounding Application has been duly signed by the parties and, particularly, in view of the statement made by the complainant Sudesh Devi, that she does not want to prosecute the present applicants any further in pursuance to the registration of Criminal Case No. 2396 of 2011, in which, they have to be tried for the offences under Section 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC. Hence, it has been pleaded that the matter may be compounded.

The Compounding Application is being opposed by the learned A.G.A., on the ground that some of the offences, i.e. offence under Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC are not compoundable under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C.

But, in view of the provisions contained under Sub-section (2) of Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., the aforesaid offences, could be compounded with the leave of the Court. The said leave is granted.

In view of the statement made by the complainant before this Court, coupled with the fact that the High Court's has inherent powers to be exercised in the matter of composition of offences under Section 482 Cr.P.C. and these powers are rather in parlance and akin to the powers to be exercised under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

In view of the aforesaid statement, and for the reasons already assigned above and, particularly, after having interacted with the parties to the proceedings, the present C482 Application would stand allowed and, a consequence thereto, the pending proceedings of Criminal Case No. 2396 of 2011, State Vs. Jasram @ Jasraj and others, so far it relates to the present applicants (which has been assigned with new Criminal Case No.838 of 2019), pending consideration before the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 2<sup>nd</sup> / Additional Civil Judge (S.D.), District Haridwar, would hereby stand quashed.

The C482 Application would accordingly stand allowed in terms of the statement referred to in the Compounding Application. This order of composition of the offences would only be confined to be applied, to the present applicants.

(Sharad Kumar Sharma, J.)

Dated 31.08.2022

Shiv