

**HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL**

**First Bail Application No.406 of 2022**

Pravesh Rani ...Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand ...Respondent

Present:-

Mr. R.P. Nautiyal, Senior Advocate, assisted by Mr.  
Vikas Anand, Advocate for the applicant.  
Mr. V.S. Rathore, A.G.A. for the State.

With

**First Bail Application No.530 of 2022**

Mukul ...Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand ...Respondent

Present:-

Mr. R.P. Nautiyal, Senior Advocate, assisted by Mr.  
Vikas Anand, Advocate for the applicant.  
Mr. V.S. Rathore, A.G.A. for the State.

And

**First Bail Application No.1381 of 2022**

Priyanka ...Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand ...Respondent

Present:-

Mr. Mohit Kumar and Ms. Meenu, Advocates for the  
applicant.  
Mr. V.S. Rathore, A.G.A. for the State.

**Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)**

Since all these bail applications arise from one and the same FIR, they are being decided by this common order.

2. Applicants Pravesh Rani, Mukul and Priyanka are in judicial in FIR No. 442 of 2021. Applicants Pravesh Rani and Mukul are in judicial custody under Sections 306, 452, 506, 34 IPC and Section 16/17 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012 ("the Act") and applicant Priyanka is in judicial custody, under Sections 306, 506, 34 IPC and Section 16/17 of the Act, Police Station Bazpur, District Udham Singh Nagar. They have sought their release on bail.

3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. The deceased was in relationship with co-accused Ranveer Singh. They had established physical relations. Whenever the deceased would ask him to marry, he would ignore it. The applicants are family members of the co-accused Ranveer Singh. It is a case in the FIR that the applicants harassed the deceased due to which she committed suicide on 29.10.2021.

5. Learned Senior counsel appearing for the applicant Pravesh Rani and Mukul would submit that the even the FIR and reading of suicide note does not make out a case of abetment of suicide..

6. Learned State counsel would submit that there is a dying declaration. It also reveals that according to the deceased, the co-accused established physical relations with her. For that reason, applicants Pravesh Rani and Mukul would assault her, torture her and the applicant Priyanka would torture her telephonically. She was beaten up. Thereafter, she committed suicide.

7. It is preliminary stage of investigation. The trial is yet to proceed. At this stage, much of the discussion is not expected of. In order to attract the provisions of abetment, what is required is to show that, in fact, somebody has instigated, conspired or intentionally aided. Is it an act which falls within the definition of abetment? Perhaps it may require deliberations during trial.

8. Having considered the entirety of facts, this Court is of the view that the applicants deserve to be enlarged on bail.

9. The bail applications are allowed.

10. Let the applicants Pravesh Rani, Mukul and Priyanka be released on bail, on their executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties by each one of them, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)  
30.09.2022

Jitendra