

206-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-41407-2021
Date of decision-31.01.2022

Manjinder Singh @ Happy @ Fauji	...Petitioner
Vs.	
State of Punjab	...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in cross case registered vide DDR No.26 dated 01.05.2018 under Sections 323, 341, 307, 336, 148 and 149 Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27, 54 and 59 Arms Act, 1959 in FIR No.115 dated 30.04.2018 under Sections 323, 341, 307, 336, 379-B, 427, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 04.10.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioners and others were indicted in a cross case, registered separately in case FIR No.115 dated 30.04.2018 registered under Sections 323, 341, 427, 379-B, 307, 336, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act and his co-accused Parminder Singh @ Kala has already been granted the concession of interim pre arrest bail vide order dated 17.09.2021 passed in CRM-M-32479-2021. According to him, the injury suffered by Mandeep Singh is attributed to co-accused Parminder Singh @ Kala.

Notice of motion for 28.01.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M- 32479-2021.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by ASI Bikramjit Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 04.10.2021 is made absolute.

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**

31.01.2022

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No