

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

234

CRM-M-32317-2019 (O&M)

Decided on : 29.07.2022

Gurmeet Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. N. S. Dandiwal, Advocate
for petitioners No. 1 and 2.

None for petitioner No. 3.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Amanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 54 dated 20.05.2019 under Sections 306, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners No. 1 and 2 has submitted that since the trial has concluded and the matter is fixed for argumetns, thus, he seeks to withdrawn the present petition on behalf of petitioners No. 1 and 2 and has moved an application bearing No. CRM-10638-2022 supported by the affidavit of petitioner No. 2.

None has appeared on behalf of respondent No. 3 in support of the compromise. All the witnesses have been examined and all the cases are stated to be fixed for arguments.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, application bearing No. CRM-10638-2022 is allowed and the petitioners No. 1 and 2 are permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Since, no one has appeared on behalf of petitioner No. 3 in support of the compromise, it seems that the compromise has not fructified and accordingly, the present petition qua petitioner No. 3 is dismissed.

However, liberty is granted to all the petitioners to raise all the pleas which are available to them as per law, before the trial Court.

In view of the above, present petition qua petitioners No. 1 and 2 is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

Liberty is also granted to petitioner No. 3 to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of, in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

29.07.2022

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No