

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(101)

**CWP-27130-2019 (O&M)
and other connected cases
Date of Decision : May 31, 2022**

Shavinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate, with
Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate,
Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate,
Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate,
Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate,
Mr. Divyadeep Walia, Advocate,
Ms. Tuneet Walia, Advocate,
Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate,
Ms. Rupinder K. Kanwal, Advocate, with
Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate,
Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate,
Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate,
Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate,
Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate,
Mr. Virinder Kumar Shukla, Advocate
Ms. Shikha Khullar, Advocate, and
Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate, with
Ms. Naina Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Jaipal Sandhu, Advocate,
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate,
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate and
Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-4574-CWP-2020 in CWP-36164-2019

As prayed for, application is allowed.

CWP-27130-2019 (O&M) and other connected cases

The present order shall dispose of a bunch of writ petitions wherein, the petitioner(s) have prayed for the grant of promotional increments after completion of 23 years of service, which benefit has been declined to them on various grounds. In all the petitions, the same relief has been prayed for.

The benefit of promotional increment on completion of 23 years service was extended to the employees for the first time vide Finance Circular No.17/1990 dated 23.04.1990. In paragraph 2 of the said circular, certain conditions were mentioned for the grant of said promotional increment. The said circular also granted the benefit of placement in promotional scale after 9 and 16 years and conditions were mentioned in the said circular itself as to who are eligible for the grant of the said promotional scale after 9/16 years as well as promotional increment after completion of 23 years of service.

It is a conceded position that for the grant of promotional increment on completion of 23 years of service as per Finance Circular No.17/1990 dated 23.04.1990 passing of the departmental examination was not ascertained.

In the year 2010, the respondents-Board in its wisdom tried to impose the condition requiring passing of the departmental examination for the grant of promotional increment on completion of 23 years of service but with retrospective effect i.e from 01.01.1986 which act created a grievance

with the employees and the said retrospective amendment was challenged before this Court. The question before this Court, at the said point of time, was whether, the imposition of condition of passing of departmental examination, with retrospective effect, was within the jurisdiction of the respondents-Corporation or not. The said question of law ultimately came to be decided by the Division Bench of this Court while passing order in **LPA No.997 of 2016 titled as Punjab State Power Corporation Limited Patiala and others versus Nirmala Rani and other connected cases, decided on 22.09.2016**. The relevant paragraph 26 of the judgment is as under:-

“26. In conclusion, therefore, we have no hesitation in holding that the withdrawal of the benefit for promotional increment as contained in Feature Nos. 7 and 8 of the original circular dated 23.04.1990 by the appellant authorities has no validity in the eyes of law qua those employees who had already become eligible for promotion as on 17.10.2010 and who otherwise were eligible for the same by virtue of the eligibility criteria in force till that date. Of course such deletion of Feature Nos. 7 and 8 would be valid prospectively as against the other class of employees, who did not meet up the prescribed criteria as noted in Para No.7 earlier, or had not yet put in the requisite length of service (23 years).”

The retrospective imposition of the condition of passing of the examination was held to be bad but the passing of examination so as to become eligible for the grant of promotional increment after 23 years of service was allowed to operate with prospective effect only, i.e. from October 2010 onwards.

Apart from this, there have been changes in the eligibility conditions by the respondents-Corporation time and again wherein, certain

conditions, though initially were part of the Circular dated 23.04.1990, were later on deleted and certain conditions which were not part of the Circular dated 23.04.1990, were also included for deciding the eligibility for the grant of promotional increment to be granted after rendering 23 years of service.

The grievances which have been raised by the petitioners in the present writ petitions is on two accounts firstly, that the instructions which have been issued by the respondents-Corporation on 01.10.2018 by which, certain eligibility conditions have been deleted for the grant of promotional increment after 23 years of service including the condition of passing of the departmental examination, is being considered prospective in operation and not retrospective by the respondents-Corporation. The other grievance of the petitioners is on case to case basis with regard to their entitlement for the grant of promotional increment to the petitioners keeping in view the conditions which were prevalent on the date when an employee completed 23 years of service. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners who qualified all the eligibility conditions either on the date when they complete 23 years of service or on a subsequent date, however their claims have still been declined.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners do not press their challenge to the instructions dated 01.10.2018 at this stage and will confine their argument to contend that the petitioners are entitled for the grant of 23 years promotional increment as the orders passed by the respondents-Corporation declining the said benefit is contrary to the facts, instructions prevalent at the relevant time as well as pronouncement of the competent Courts of law on the said

aspect.

The challenge to the instructions dated 01.10.2018 though raised but has not been pressed by the petitioners at this stage and therefore, no finding on the said aspect is being rendered by this Court.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-Corporation, who is being assisted by Mr. Naresh Kumar Sharma, Deputy Secretary, Finance, PSPCL, Patiala, submits that being a welfare corporation, the Corporation does not want to deny a legitimate claim of any of its employee in case covered under the rules/instructions. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that though, the claim of the petitioners have been declined by the authorities concerned, which order has been challenged by them in the present proceedings but, the corporation is not averse of reconsideration in case, any of the petitioners represents against the impugned order pointing towards any factual discrepancy in the order declining them the benefits. Learned counsel for the respondents-Corporation further submits that he has instructions to submit that the Corporation will consider the claim of each employee, who has approached this Court afresh keeping in view the instructions which were prevalent on the date of his/her eligibility for the grant of 23 years promotional increment.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the only request of the Corporation is that the employee concerned should file appropriate claim bringing out the discrepancy, which according to them, is part of the impugned order, which needs consideration at the hands of the Corporation. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the grounds given for declining of the claim of the petitioner already, which

have been impugned in the present petitions, will not be taken as a ground *ipso facto* to decline the claim again without rendering appropriate consideration to the claim which will be raised by the petitioners and objection to the impugned orders, will be considered with open mind subject to the eligibility as envisaged under the instructions which were prevalent at the time when the said petitioners became eligible for the grant of promotional increment on completion of 23 years of service and appropriate speaking order will be passed in each case. Learned counsel for the respondents-Corporation further submit that in case after reconsideration, claim of any employee is found to be genuine, he/she will be granted the benefit and the impugned order passed will be suitably modified.

Learned counsel for the respondents further clarifies/submits that it may be a case that an employee might be ineligible on a particular date when he completes 23 years of service but in case he remains in service and thereafter, becomes eligible due to subsequent notification issued by the respondents-Corporation, the Corporation will consider his/her case on the said date of eligibility also.

This Court has been assured by the respondents that the orders already passed declining the claim of the petitioners will not be taken into account in case, an employee makes out a case for reconsideration of the same in the representation which is to be submitted after the passing of the order in the present case.

Proposal of reconsideration of the cases by the Corporation is accepted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s).

Keeping in view the above assurance given by the Corporation,

the impugned orders are not being set aside as Corporation has undertaken to reconsider the issue on case to case basis in case, the petitioners raise any grievance qua the same before the authorities concerned.

The petitioners are granted time upto 31.08.2022 to raise objections before the respondents-Corporation in respect of any orders, which have been passed by the respondents-Corporation declining them the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

The time limit for filing the objections has been set as the petitioners are in litigation since long and most of them have retired from service.

The present writ petitions are hereby disposed of with the direction to the respondents-Corporation that in case, any of the petitioners, raise any grievance, within the time limit specified hereinbefore, with regard to the declining of their claim by the authorities concerned by pointing out certain factual aspect or bringing to the notice of the authorities that they are eligible for the grant of the benefit of promotional increment after 23 years of service, the Corporation, as undertaken, will pass appropriate orders on their representation within a period of three months from the receipt of the same and in case, after passing of the order, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same be also released to them within a period of four weeks thereafter.

The present petitions are disposed of in above terms.

May 31, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

Following writ petitions are disposed of by the above said order.

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
1	CWP-27668-2019	TARLOK SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
2	CWP-31535-2019	CHANDUL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
3	CWP-27416-2019	BUTA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION AND OTHERS
4	CWP-27420-2019	JASBIR SINGH WALIA V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ANOTHER
5	CWP-27692-2019	BALIHAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS SSSSS
6	CWP-29920-2019	KASHMIRI LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION AND ORS,
7	CWP-30102-2019	PREM CHAND V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
8	CWP-30164-2019	CHARAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
9	CWP-30249-2019	NASIB SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
10	CWP-30457-2019	SUKHDEV RAJ V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
11	CWP-31502-2019	HARPAL SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
12	CWP-31539-2019	SUKHDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
13	CWP-31598-2019	KARAMJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
14	CWP-31658-2019	OM PARKASH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
15	CWP-31659-2019	VIRSA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
16	CWP-33093-2019	SURJEET KUMAR V/S V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
17	CWP-33959-2019	MAKHAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
18	CWP-34709-2019	BHOLA RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
19	CWP-34723-2019	RANJEET SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
20	CWP-34732-2019	BHIM SAIN V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
21	CWP-34986-2019	JAGTAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
22	CWP-35413-2019	BHUPINDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
23	CWP-35414-2019	JAGDISH RAI PURI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
24	CWP-35419-2019	VIDIA BAI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
25	CWP-35442-2019	PHUMAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
25	CWP-35455-2019	RANJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
27	CWP-35515-2019	GURNAIB SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
28	CWP-35606-2019	MUKHTIAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
29	CWP-35628-2019	HARDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
30	CWP-35631-2019	TARO BAI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
31	CWP-35662-2019	PRITHVI RAJ V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
32	CWP-35673-2019	BALWANT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
33	CWP-35695-2019	RANI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
34	CWP-35734-2019	PRITAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
35	CWP-35741-2019	BACHITTAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
36	CWP-35966-2019	TEJ SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
37	CWP-35976-2019	GURRAN DITTA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
38	CWP-35977-2019	PREM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
39	CWP-36028-2019	JAGDISH RAI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
40	CWP-36074-2019	BALBIR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
41	CWP-36076-2019	NEELA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
42	CWP-36160-2019	HANS RAJ AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
43	CWP-36164-2019 (O&M)	BUTA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
44	CWP-36166-2019	JEET SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
45	CWP-36459-2019	BARHAM DASS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
46	CWP-36470-2019	PREM SAGAR AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
47	CWP-36957-2019	BALDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
48	CWP-37003-2019	SADIQ MOHMAD V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
49	CWP-37034-2019	KARTARO BAI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
50	CWP-37043-2019	GIAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
51	CWP-37415-2019	OM PARKASH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
52	CWP-37473-2019	KIRPAL SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
53	CWP-763-2020	GURCHARAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
54	CWP-33953-2019	CHARAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
55	CWP-4184-2020	GURCHARAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
56	CWP-4282-2020	RAJ KUMAR KANDA V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
57	CWP-632-2021	KARNAIL SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ANR
58	CWP-9805-2021	SARDARI LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
59	CWP-14941-2018	GURDEV SINGH V/S PSPCL AND ORS
60	CWP-27398-2019	HAKAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
61	CWP-27414-2019	DARSHAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
62	CWP-27800-2019	GURNAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
63	CWP-28839-2019	MILAP CHAND V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
64	CWP-30506-2019	JAGIR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AN ORS
65	CWP-27206-2019	GURSEWAK SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION AND OTHERS
66	CWP-36082-2019	ASHOK KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
67	CWP-35454-2019	RESHAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
68	CWP-35469-2019	LAL SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
69	CWP-28893-2019	KASTURI LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
70	CWP-33529-2019	SUKHWINDER KAUR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
71	CWP-31785-2019	RAM SAGAR AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
72	CWP-37481-2019	KARAMJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
73	CWP-37556-2019	NARINDER SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
74	CWP-1198-2020	HARBANS LAL V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
75	CWP-1200-2020	BIKRAMJIT SINGH V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED LTD AND ORS
76	CWP-1734-2020	KAUR SINGH AND ORS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
77	CWP-1874-2020	GURDEEP SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
78	CWP-1885-2020	GURDEEP SINGH V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
78	CWP-2524-2020	RAVI KANT V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
80	CWP-2527-2020	RAM KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
81	CWP-2562-2020	JASBIR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
82	CWP-2614-2020	KRISHAN KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
83	CWP-2656-2020	SEWAK SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
84	CWP-2697-2020	NIRMALA RANI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
85	CWP-2739-2020	HARBHAJAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
86	CWP-2754-2020	HARI CHAND V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
87	CWP-2767-2020	RANJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
88	CWP-4553-2020	JAGROOP SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
89	CWP-5093-2020	PARVEEN KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
90	CWP-5114-2020	BOOTA RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
91	CWP-5128-2020	MOHINDER PAL V/S PUNJAB STATE TRANSMISSION CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
92	CWP-5143-2020	TEJA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
93	CWP-2716-2020	GURDIAL SINGH AND ORS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ANR
94	CWP-2963-2020	MAJOR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
95	CWP-2978-2020	MOHINDER SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
96	CWP-2982-2020	MALKIAT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
97	CWP-2983-2020	OM PARKASH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
98	CWP-2985-2020	AVTAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
99	CWP-2992-2020	RAVI SHANKAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
100	CWP-3008-2020	RAGHBIR CHAND V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
101	CWP-3009-2020	PIARA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
102	CWP-3016-2020	VED PARKASH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
103	CWP-3030-2020	MOHINDER KAUR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
104	CWP-3032-2020	KRISHNA MASIH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. PATIALA AND ORS
105	CWP-3065-2020	KALAWANTI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
106	CWP-3076-2020	HARCHAND SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
107	CWP-3077-2020	BALDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
108	CWP-3078-2020	SADHU RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
109	CWP-3079-2020	BALJIT KAUR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
110	CWP-3112-2020	ROOP SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
111	CWP-3145-2020	KARAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
112	CWP-3150-2020	GURDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
113	CWP-3174-2020	AVTAR SINGH V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED LTD AND OTHERS
114	CWP-3175-2020	RAMESH CHANDER V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED LTD AND ORS
115	CWP-4188-2020	HARPAL SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
116	CWP-4195-2020	SUKHDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
117	CWP-4197-2020	DARSHAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
118	CWP-4228-2020	NIRMAL SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
119	CWP-4239-2020	ASHOK KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
120	CWP-4272-2020	SHARANJIT KAUR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
121	CWP-4284-2020	BALWINDER SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
122	CWP-4502-2020	AMIR CHAND V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
123	CWP-4508-2020	MANJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
124	CWP-4514-2020	BALDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
125	CWP-4515-2020	AMARJEET SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
126	CWP-4516-2020	JASWINDER SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
127	CWP-4517-2020	MEHAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
127	CWP-4523-2020	AJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
129	CWP-4525-2020	HARBHAGWAN V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
130	CWP-4532-2020	SURINDER PAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
131	CWP-4537-2020	USHA RANI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
132	CWP-4539-2020	BHAGWAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
133	CWP-4541-2020	SUSHILA DEVI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
134	CWP-4551-2020	HARBANS LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
135	CWP-4564-2020	HARJIT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS.
136	CWP-4569-2020	SUKHMANDER SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
137	CWP-4573-2020	PIARA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
138	CWP-4587-2020	BUTA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
139	CWP-4596-2020	HARJINDER SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
140	CWP-5253-2020	DUNGAR RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
141	CWP-5273-2020	DEV RAJ V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
142	CWP-5323-2020	SHAM LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED. AND ORS
143	CWP-5332-2020	GURNAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
144	CWP-5345-2020	JANARADHAN MEHTO V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
145	CWP-5394-2020	HARBANS SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
146	CWP-5419-2020	PIARA SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
147	CWP-5420-2020	RESHAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
148	CWP-5497-2020	SHAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND OTHERS
149	CWP-5512-2020	KALU RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
150	CWP-5514-2020	MEGH NATH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
151	CWP-5672-2020	ROORA RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
152	CWP-5677-2020	PRITAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
153	CWP-5681-2020	PRITAM SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
154	CWP-5685-2020	MELA SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
155	CWP-5698-2020	KEWAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
156	CWP-5702-2020	SURJAN SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
157	CWP-5712-2020	RAMESH CHANDER V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
158	CWP-5725-2020	SURAJ PARSHAD V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
159	CWP-6153-2020	CHET RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
160	CWP-6264-2020	HARDYAL SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
161	CWP-6359-2020	BHAJAN LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
162	CWP-6544-2020	HARBHAJAN SINGH V/S THE CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING DIRECTOR PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
163	CWP-6648-2020	GURTEJ SINGH V/S THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS
164	CWP-6901-2020	MAGHAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
165	CWP-6973-2020	MAKHAN SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
166	CWP-6976-2020	BALDEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
167	CWP-6983-2020	HARI CHAND AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
168	CWP-6984-2020	SANTOSH RANI V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
169	CWP-6992-2020	JOGINDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
170	CWP-7002-2020	VINOD KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
171	CWP-7004-2020	SATPAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
172	CWP-7014-2020	NAVINDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
173	CWP-7019-2020	CHAMAN LAL KAPIL AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
174	CWP-7028-2020	BALWANT SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
175	CWP-7029-2020	JAGTAR SINGH AND ORS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
176	CWP-7030-2020	GARIB RAM AND ORS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
177	CWP-7035-2020	PRITAM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
178	CWP-7037-2020	JOGINDER SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED/PSPCL AND OTHERS
179	CWP-7053-2020	BALDEV RAJ V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS
180	CWP-7095-2020	MALKIAT SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
181	CWP-7110-2020	BAL RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
182	CWP-7132-2020	PREM LATA V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
183	CWP-11866-2022	SEWAK SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ORS.
184	CWP-11998-2022	RAJESH KUMAR PANDEY V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS
185	CWP-12309-2022	SARJIWAN LAL V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD AND ANR
186	CWP-22853-2019	HARI KRISHAN V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
187	CWP-27998-2019	BALWANT SINGH AND OTHERS V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
188	CWP-33284-2019	DEV SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP LTD AND ORS
189	CWP-33330-2019	LAKH VIR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP. LTD. AND OTHERS
190	CWP-33285-2019	MUKHTIAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP.LTD AND ORS
191	CWP-33324-2019	BALKAR SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP.LTD AND ORS

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
192	CWP-5499-2022	HEMANT KUMAR V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
193	CWP-9540-2021	SUDESH KUMAR V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS
194	CWP-20769-2021	SIA RAM V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORTION LIMITED AND ORS
195	CWP-13452-2018	PREM SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATIOIN LTS AND ORS
196	CWP-12503-2019	RAJ KUMAR SACHDEV V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
197	CWP-5688-2020	SANTOKH SINGH V/S PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
198	CWP-19495-2021	HIRA LAL V/S THE PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND OTHERS

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

May 31, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No