

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**257**

**CRM-M-10348-2020  
Decided on : 29.04.2022**

Manjinder Singh and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

**PRESENT:** None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet S. Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 176 dated 31.10.2015 under Sections 420, 120-B, 406, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising on the basis of the compromise.

On 12.03.2020, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Prayer made in this petition is for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.  
Notice of motion for 28.07.2020.*

*At this stage, Mr. Bhupinder Singh,  
Advocate, appears on behalf of respondents No.2  
and 3.*

*In the meanwhile, parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for recording their statements in the context of genuineness of the compromise on 20.04.2020 and the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, after recording their statements, shall submit its report with regard to genuineness of the compromise viz-a-viz. number of accused persons involved in this case and whether any of the accused has been declared proclaimed offender or not, on or before the adjourned date.*

*Sd/-*  
*(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)*  
*JUDGE”*

In pursuance of the said order, a report has been submitted by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda to the Registrar General of this Court as per which the complainant had not appeared before the Court.

Even, none has appeared today on behalf of the parties before this Court also in the present matter.

In view of the same, present petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise in case the compromise is still valid and parties are ready to get their statements recorded before the trial Court.

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

April 29<sup>th</sup>, 2022  
*Mehak*

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No